

Exhibit GG.


South Schaefer Site

Phase I Cultural Resources

Assessment Report



All Phases Archaeology



DECEMBER 6, 2023

A PHASE I CULTURAL RESOURCES SURVEY FOR THE
PROPOSED SOUTH SCHAEFER SITE,
ST. MARTIN PARISH, LOUISIANA

South Schaefer Site Phase I Cultural Resources Assessment Report

Prepared for:
One Acadiana
804 East St. Mary Boulevard
Lafayette, Louisiana 70503

Prepared by:
All Phases Archaeology, LLC
257 Pinehill Drive
Mobile, Alabama 36606


All Phases Archaeology, LLC
www.allphasesarchaeology.com

A PHASE I CULTURAL RESOURCES SURVEY FOR THE
PROPOSED SOUTH SCHAEFER SITE,
ST. MARTIN PARISH, LOUISIANA

PREPARED BY
ALL PHASES ARCHAEOLOGY, LLC
257 PINEHILL DRIVE
MOBILE, ALABAMA 36606

PREPARED FOR
ONE ACADIANA
804 EAST ST. MARY BOULEVARD
LAFAYETTE, LOUISIANA 70503

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'J. Glass', written in a cursive style.

WILLIAM J. GLASS, RPA

APA REPORT No. 2023.178

DECEMBER 6, 2023

ABSTRACT

Between September 20 and October 6, 2023, All Phases Archaeology (APA) of Mobile, Alabama performed a Phase I cultural resources survey for the proposed South Schaefer Site project located in St. Martin Parish, Louisiana. The survey was conducted in support of the Louisiana Economic Development (LED) Site Certification process.

The project area encompasses 52 acres. The investigation identified two new archaeological sites (16SM138 and 16SM139) within the project area. These sites represent a mid-nineteenth to mid-twentieth century farmstead. Site 16SM139 has a limited artifact assemblage with a lack of diagnostic material. The site has limited research potential and is recommended ineligible for the NRHP. As site 16SM138 could not be delineated beyond the current project boundaries, the NRHP eligibility of the site is unknown. All paperwork and supporting documents will be curated at the Troy University Archaeological Research Center in Troy, Alabama. Avoidance is recommended. If Site 16SM138 cannot be avoided Phase II testing should be performed. The remainder of the study area is absent of cultural resources.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	i
Table of Contents	ii
Acknowledgments.....	iii
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
Chapter 2: Land Use History	5
Chapter 3: Previous Investigations	11
Literature and Document Search.	11
Chapter 4: Methodology	15
Standing Structures	15
Archaeological Field Methods	15
Laboratory Methods.....	15
Curation	15
Chapter 5: Results	17
Overview	17
Sites.....	17
Standing Structures	31
Historic Areas	31
Chapter 6: Summary and Recommendations.....	33
References	34
Appendix A	A-1
Appendix B	B-1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Aerial image showing the project area	2
Figure 1.2. Topographic map showing the project area.....	3
Figure 2.1. Historic 1917 soil survey map showing the project area.....	6
Figure 2.2. Historic 1939 map showing the project area.	7
Figure 2.3. Historic 1957 map showing the project area	8
Figure 2.4. Historic 1970 map showing the project area	9
Figure 3.1. Map showing the previous surveys, previously recorded sites and historic resources within one mile of the project area	12
Figure 4.1. Aerial image showing initial transect shovel tests within the project area.....	16
Figure 5.1. Map showing the location of sites 16SM138 and 16SM139 within the project area.....	18
Figure 5.2. Typical shovel test profile.....	19
Figure 5.3. Overview of the northwest portion of the project area, facing northwest	19
Figure 5.4. View of the southwest portion of the project area, facing south	20
Figure 5.5. View of the central portion of the project area, facing west.....	20
Figure 5.6. View of the mounded briars within the central portion of the project area, facing north.....	21
Figure 5.7. View of pipeline corridor crossing through the central portion of the project area, facing southeast.....	21
Figure 5.8. View of the western portion of the project area, facing east	22
Figure 5.9. View of the pipeline corridor along the southern boundary of the project area, facing west...22	

Figure 5.10. View access road on the west side of the project area, facing north	23
Figure 5.11. Sites 16SM138 and 16SM139 sketchmap	24
Figure 5.12. Overview of site 16SM138, facing south	25
Figure 5.13. View of the southern portion of 16SM138, facing east.....	25
Figure 5.14. Historic 1958 aerial photograph showing the location of the sites within the project area....	26
Figure 5.15. Historic 1969 aerial photograph showing the location of the sites within the project area....	27
Figure 5.14. Historic Ceramics collected at 16SM138: a-Blue shell edged whiteware rim, b-Flow Blue whiteware	29
Figure 5.15. Olive green glass bottleneck fragment with tooled champagne finish collected at 16SM138... ..	29
Figure 5.16. Overview of 16SM139, facing east	30
Figure 5.17. Overview of 16SM139, facing northeast.....	30

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1. Previously recorded sites within one mile of the project area	10
Table 3.2. Previous surveys within one mile of the project area	10

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Principal Investigator for this Phase I survey was William J. Glass, who was assisted by Lucinda Freeman, Dale Pate, Sam Summers, Jessica Brown, and Kai Christensen. Natalie Ledesma and Stacey Baggett digitized the maps and Lucinda Freeman produced the report. This work was accomplished for One Acadiana of Lafayette, Louisiana.

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

All Phases Archaeology (APA) of Mobile, Alabama was contracted by One Acadiana of Lafayette, Louisiana to conduct a cultural resources survey for the proposed South Schaefer Site project in St. Martin Parish, Louisiana. The survey was conducted in support of the Louisiana Economic Development (LED) Site Certification process.

The Phase I survey was performed between September 20 and October 6, 2023. The Principal Investigator for the survey was William J. Glass, who was assisted by Lucinda Freeman, Dale Pate, Sam Summers, Jessica Brown and Kai Christensen. The purpose of this study was to determine if any prehistoric or historic properties exist within the limits of the project area, and if so, to document and assess each based on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) criteria. The project area (PA) is the same as the area of potential effect (APE).

The approximate 52-acre project area lies south of Interstate 10, east of U.S. Highway (US) 90 and west of Louisiana (LA) 182 and the Southern Pacific Railroad. This is southeast of Lafayette near Cade, Louisiana (Figure 1.1). The project area is found within Section 23, Township 11 South, Range 5 East as seen on the 1983 Youngsville, Louisiana USGS 7.5' series topographic quadrangle (Figure 1.2). The project area lies within an open field in an industrial park.

This report of our investigations is presented as follows. Chapter 2 contains information regarding land use history in the project area. Chapter 3 examines any previous sites or surveys in or near the project area. Chapter 4 presents the field and laboratory methodology as well as curation. Chapter 5 consists of the results of fieldwork. Chapter 6 concludes the report and summarizes our findings and recommendations. Appendix A is the curation agreement. Appendix B is the artifact inventory.




Figure 1.1. Aerial image showing the project area.




Figure 1.2. Topographic map showing the project area.

CHAPTER 2

LAND USE HISTORY

The survey area is located in south central Louisiana within the Lafayette Loess Plains of the Western Gulf Coastal Plain. The region has relatively flat topography with historically grassland vegetation including big and little bluestem, yellow Indiangrass and switchgrass. Hardwood forests in the region are small and tend to be found along streams and in the lowlands. The native vegetation has mostly been replaced with agricultural fields and urban expansion. Soils are typically well to poorly drained (Daigle et al. 2006). The region is capped with a loess veneer. Elevation in the survey area is approximately 25-30 ft above mean sea level.

The earliest map available is the 1917 St. Martin Parish Soil Survey map (Figure 2.1). This revealed one structure located within the northwest corner of the project area. Access for this structure is shown as an unimproved road leading northeast and outside of the project area. Five structures are seen along this unimproved road to the northeast and two more are located along the drainage to the west. The drainage is shown passing through the northwest corner. There are two other intermittent drainages to the north and east of the study area. The community of Cade has been established just to the northeast along the Southern Pacific Railroad.

The 1939 St. Martinsville, Louisiana 15' USGS topographic quadrangle shows several more structures within and near the northwest portion of the project just north of a pond. Another structure is located in the north central portion (Figure 2.2). All of the structures are accessed via the same unimproved road. The area surrounding the project area has become slightly more populated with structures. The drainage no longer crosses through the northwest corner. The road along the east boundary has become Louisiana (LA) Route 235. Other surrounding features include the Southern Pacific Railroad and US 90 which are located to the east.

On the 1957 St. Martinsville, Louisiana 15' USGS topographic quadrangle it is evident that the cluster of structures within and near the northwest portion of the project area has dwindled to one, however, the small pond is still shown near the remaining structure (Figure 2.3). The structure in the north central portion of the project area is no longer shown. An improved drainage has been routed through the eastern portion of the project area. The road along the eastern boundary is now LA Route 92. No other changes are seen in the vicinity of the project area. The 1970 St. Martinsville, Louisiana 15' USGS topographic quadrangle shows no structures within the project area and US 90 is now in its present-day location to the west (Figure 2.4). The pond and the improved drainage still appear on the map.

A search of the BLM GLO records produce a land patent record dating to 1859 in the name of Joseph D. Babeneaux under the authority of the Cash Sale Act of 1820 (3 Stat. 566).



Figure 2.1. Historic 1917 soil survey map showing the project area.




Figure 2.2. Historic 1939 map showing the project area.




Figure 2.3. Historic 1957 map showing the project area.




Figure 2.4. Historic 1970 map showing the project area.

CHAPTER 3 PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS

LITERATURE AND DOCUMENT SEARCH

Background research was conducted prior to the survey to identify previously recorded historic and prehistoric properties within a one-mile radius of the proposed South Schaefer Site project located in St. Martin Parish, Louisiana. This search included an online query of the Louisiana Site Files (Louisiana Division of Archaeology [LDOA] 2023). A one-mile (1.6 km) radius search was conducted around the proposed project area for previously recorded archaeological sites and previous cultural resources surveys. Lastly, a query into the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) (National Park Service 2023) was conducted.

Research of the site files (LDOA 2023) identified six previously recorded archaeological sites (Table 3.1) and five documented cultural resource reports (Table 3.2) within a mile of the proposed study area (Figure 3.1). Background research revealed two recorded historic resources within a mile of the study area. An examination of the NRHP online files identified no National Register properties within the one mile search radius. None of the surveys, sites, or historic resources are located within the proposed project area.

Table 3.1. Previously recorded sites within one mile of the project area.					
<i>Site Number</i>	<i>Site Name</i>	<i>NRHP Status</i>	<i>Components</i>	<i>Recorded by</i>	<i>Reference</i>
16SM96	Briar Patch Road	ineligible	Industrial and Modern	Barry South 2001	South et al. 2001
16SM97	SMEDA Site	ineligible	Industrial and Modern	M. Fang Barber 2002	Barber, Miles and Hughes 2002
16SM98	SMEDA Site 2	ineligible	Industrial and Modern	M. Fang Barber 2002	Barber, Miles and Hughes 2002
16SM124	FS-F-1	ineligible	Industrial and Modern	Perennial Environmental Services 2015	Overfield et al. 2016
16IB170	FS-E-4	ineligible	Industrial and Modern	Perennial Environmental Services 2015	Overfield et al. 2016
16IB171	FS-D-7	ineligible (partial)	Antebellum, War and Aftermath, Industrial and Modern	Perennial Environmental Services 2016	Overfield et al. 2016

Table 3.2. Previous surveys within one mile of the proposed project area.		
<i>Report number</i>	<i>Report Title</i>	<i>Author & Date</i>
22-2455	<i>Intensive Cultural Resources Survey of I-49 South, Route US 90, Lafayette Regional Airport to Route LA 88 Lafayette, St. Martin, and Iberia Parishes, Louisiana</i>	South et al. 2004
22-2483	<i>Phase I Cultural Resources Management Survey for the Proposed St. Martin Parish Business and Industrial Park St. Martin Parish, Louisiana</i>	Barber, Meyers, and Hughey 2002
22-5173	<i>A Phase I Cultural Resources Survey of the Bayou Bridge Pipeline, LLC Bayou Bridge Pipeline Project Acadia, Assumption, Calcasieu, Iberia, Iberville, Jefferson Davis, Lafayette, St. Martin, St. James, and Vermillion Parishes, Louisiana</i>	Overfield et al. 2016a
22-5173-1	<i>Addendum I- A Phase I Cultural Resources Survey of the Bayou Bridge Pipeline, LLC Bayou Bridge Pipeline Project Acadia, Assumption, Calcasieu, Iberia, Iberville, Jefferson Davis, Lafayette, St. Martin, St. James, and Vermillion Parishes, Louisiana</i>	Overfield et al. 2016b
22-5173-2	<i>Addendum II- A Phase I Cultural Resources Survey of the Bayou Bridge Pipeline, LLC Bayou Bridge Pipeline Project Acadia, Assumption, Calcasieu, Iberia, Iberville, Jefferson Davis, Lafayette, St. Martin, St. James, and Vermillion Parishes, Louisiana</i>	Overfield and Maddox 2016




Figure 3.1. Map showing the previous surveys, previously recorded sites, and historic resources within one mile of the project area.

Historic resource #50-00257, Oasis Plantation, was built circa (c.) 1870s-1880s, and is a story and a half, front gabled, rectangular building. One of the oldest structures in the vicinity, this vernacular style structure is in excellent condition and may have served as a store front at one time. The building now serves as the school chapel for the Episcopal School of Acadiana. The NRHP eligibility of this resource is unknown.

Historic resource #50-00562, Hypolite Boudreaux Home, was constructed c. 1870-1890. This structure is an excellent example of a local Victorian country house. The double gabled front has three chimneys, and also has a long gallery across the front and side. A second structure was moved to the property sometime before 1978. The building is a Greek Revival with early Victorian features and a rolled gallery roof, which served as an office for St. Martinsville resident, Dr. John Bates. The NRHP eligibility of this resource is unknown.

CHAPTER 4 METHODOLOGY

STANDING STRUCTURES

Historic maps were reviewed before the fieldwork was accomplished to ascertain the presence or absence of possible historic resources within the project area. Review of the 1917 St. Martin Parish soil survey map and the 1939 and 1957 St. Martinsville 15' topographic maps revealed one to six structures within or adjacent to the study area. However, the 1970 St. Martinsville 15' series topographic quadrangle revealed no structures within the proposed project area. Field reconnaissance corroborated that there are no current standing structures within the project area.

ARCHAEOLOGICAL FIELD METHODS

The field survey conducted implemented standard archaeological survey techniques. Full land coverage requirements were achieved through visual inspections of the entire survey area and subsurface testing. While conducting visual inspections, any exposed surfaces were carefully examined for cultural material.

Subsurface testing was comprised of shovel tests spaced 30 m apart. Standard shovel tests consist of 30 centimeter (cm) diameter cylindrical holes excavated to the top of the sterile subsoil layer or until the water table or other obstruction was encountered. Soils from each test are screened through 1/4-inch (0.64 cm) hardware cloth for the purpose of recovering any cultural material that may exist at that location. When cultural material is encountered, the material is sorted by provenience and placed into bags labeled with the pertinent excavation information before being transported to APA's laboratory. If cultural material is identified during transecting, it is further examined in order to better define its horizontal and vertical limits. Delineations are conducted by placing additional shovel tests around positive tests. These additional tests are placed at 10 m intervals off of the original positive tests or cultural features in cardinal directions within the project area. This testing is conducted until two negative shovel tests are encountered in each direction or until delineations extend beyond the project boundary. A hand held Garmin GPS unit is used to record the site center and a sketch map is drawn by compass and pace and plotted to scale. Digital photographs are taken for any site recorded as well as for the survey area. For the South Schaefer Site project, 240 shovel tests were attempted (Figure 4.1). Eleven of the tests were positive, 227 were negative and two tests could not be excavated due to a drainage ditch and surface gravel.

LABORATORY METHODS

All cultural materials recovered during field projects are delivered to APA's laboratory in Mobile, Alabama for processing. Upon initial receipt of materials and field forms, bag lists are entered into a computer database for use with a labeling program. Materials are cleaned and, if necessary, stabilized before classification and quantification by laboratory analysts. Cultural materials are sorted on the basis of morphologic attributes, raw-material type (i.e., chert, quartz, etc.), measurements, and/or function. Previously defined types are often used to facilitate chronological assessments and intrasite comparisons.

CURATION

Along with any cultural material, all project records, photographs, and maps produced while conducting the investigation are transported for curation at the Troy University Archaeological Research Center, Troy, Alabama (Appendix A).




Figure 4.1. Aerial image showing initial transect shovel tests within the project area.

CHAPTER 5 RESULTS

OVERVIEW

The project area is located within an existing industrial park with businesses to the north and west of the project area. Cane fields are located south and east. The western portion of the survey area consists of a large open field of maintained secondary growth. A drainage ditch cuts through the center separating the north field from the south. A few young hardwoods including oak and pecan dot the area. An asphalt access road leads south into the project area from Forum Drive, before ending at the drainage ditch. Heavy industrial equipment lines the southern portion of the access road. The central and eastern portions of the project area consist of dense secondary growth including briars, goldenrod, late boneset, and groundsel tree. The project area is relatively level with a few localized depressions. The detritus of the secondary growth and the new growth of grasses, goldenrod and the mounding briars obscure most of the ground surface within the project area. A crude oil pipeline runs along the southern boundary and a natural gas pipeline crosses diagonally through the central portion of the survey area.

This Phase I investigation included the placement of 240 initial transect shovel tests (see Figure 4.1). All were tested at 30-m high probability intervals. Eleven tests were positive, and two shovel tests could not be excavated due to a drainage ditch and a gravel surface. All other tests were negative. Seven positive tests were located in a cluster in the northwest corner, one lone test was located to the east of these, and three more were clustered in the southwest. Two new archaeological sites, 16SM138 and 16SM139, were recorded during this investigation (Figure 5.1). A full artifact inventory can be found in Appendix B. Soils across the project area are loose at the surface and become more compact with depth. A typical shovel test consisted of 10 cm of light brownish gray (10YR 6/2) silt loam over a compact, brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) silt to 50 cm (Figures 5.2). Figures 5.3-5.10 depict the present condition of the project area.

SITES

Site 16SM138 was identified by two large clusters of positive transect shovel tests, seven in the northwest portion, and three in the south-central portion of the project area (see Figure 4.1). Delineations of all positive tests were performed in 10-m intervals in cardinal directions until two negatives were reached. Due to the constraints of the project boundaries the site was unable to be further investigated to the north and west, however a drainage ditch is located just west of the project boundary is likely the natural site boundary (Figure 5.11). Site 16SM138 is located within an open field covered with secondary growth of briars, goldenrod, and late boneset that is mowed (Figure 5.12 and 5.13).

Site 16SM138 is located near the original structure seen on the 1917 St. Martin soil survey map. The 1939 St. Martinsville, LA 15' topographic map shows several structures clustered to the west of this location just north of a pond. The 1957 St. Martinsville 15' topographic map shows only one of these structures remaining. By the 1970 St. Martinsville 15' topographic map, no structures are depicted and only the pond is shown. On the 1983 Youngsville, LA 7.5' topographic map, a structure is shown north of the site just outside the northern boundary. A review of aerial photographs of the site location revealed two large structures and three smaller structures clustered around the pond in 1958 (Figure 5.14). The site is located within the cultivated fields to the west. The site appears to represent a small farmstead with a house, barn, and auxiliary structures that may have been pushed to the west and southwest after demolition. In 1969, the two large buildings and one of the smaller buildings are still extant (Figure 5.15). A smaller auxiliary




Figure 5.1. Map showing the location of sites 16SM138 and 16SM139 within the project area.



Figure 5.2. Typical shovel test profile.



Figure 5.3. Overview of the northwest portion of the project area, facing northwest.



Figure 5.4. View of the southwest portion of the project area, facing south.



Figure 5.5. View of the central portion of the project area, facing west.



Figure 5.6. View of the mounded briars within the central portion of the project area, facing north.



Figure 5.7. View of pipeline corridor crossing through the central portion of the project area, facing southeast.



Figure 5.8. View of the western portion of the project area, facing east.



Figure 5.9. View of the pipeline corridor along the southern boundary of the project area, facing west.



Figure 5.10. View of the access road on the west side of the project area, facing north.




Figure 5.11. Sites 16SM138 and 16SM139 sketchmap.



Figure 5.12. Overview of site 16SM138, facing south.



Figure 5.13. View of the southern portion of 16SM138, facing east.




Figure 5.14. Historic 1958 aerial photograph showing the location of the sites within the project area.




Figure 5.15. Historic 1969 aerial photograph showing the location of the sites within the project area.

building is now seen on the northern edge of the pond. By 1971, only the northernmost of the larger buildings can be seen, and the pond appears to be filled in. The site area is still in cultivation. No evidence of the structure depicted on the 1983 topographic map was seen on any of the aerial photographs. The closest site, 16SM98, is located just west of 16SM138 (0.02 mi), separated by a drainage ditch. The site is a late nineteenth to early twentieth century domestic farmstead exhibiting similarities in the artifactual record. It should be noted that these two sites, though similar, are associated with separate structures that can be seen on the 1917 soil survey map, as well as the 1939 and 1957 St. Martinsville 15' topographic maps. Aerial photographs from 1958, 1969, and 1971 confirm that the structures existed as individual farmsteads on both parcels. Today, an industrial park is located just north of the site. There is no sign of the former access road that once led into the project area and the structures associated with Site 16SM138.

Soils across the site were loose and silty at the surface becoming more compact with depth. A typical soil profile for Site 16SM138 consists of 20 cm of yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) silty loam over a light brownish gray (10YR 6/2) silty clay mottled with strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) clay. The surface collection was taken only from animal burrows where the artifacts were exposed. The ground surface visibility was extremely poor otherwise. Artifacts were recovered up to a depth of 50 cm and include flow blue whiteware (n=4), blue shell edged whiteware (n=6) (Figure 5.16), annular banded whiteware (n=6), transfer printed whiteware (n=4), hand-painted whiteware (n=7), a fragment of green glazed whiteware, a purple transfer printed and flow blue whiteware fragment, a black annular banded green hand painted whiteware rim fragment, two fragments of blue and red sponged whiteware, undecorated whiteware (n=39), a fragment of Bristol glazed exterior/Albany slipped interior stoneware, yellowware (n=2), a piece of undecorated porcelain, amethyst (solarized) container glass (n=2), an olive green glass bottleneck with a tooled champagne finish (Figure 5.17), olive green container glass (n=23), aqua container glass (n=6), colorless container glass (n=22), green container glass (n=2), amber container glass (n=7), a piece of milk glass, a fragment of window glass, brick fragments (n=140), unspecified bone (n=1), ferrous metal cut nail fragment (n=3), ferrous metal wire nail fragment (n=4), a possible hardware latch, and a brass tack.

Amethyst container glass (solarized) was in production between 1880-1925. The olive green glass bottleneck with a tooled finish dates from 1880 to 1915. Hand painted whiteware dates to 1820 through 1860, flow blue whiteware dates between 1828 and 1928, transfer printed whiteware dates to 1820-1890 and blue shell edged whiteware with unscaloped rims dates from 1840s through the 1860s. Cut nails date from 1790 to the present and wire nails date between 1850-until present, though not common in Louisiana until the 1890s. It is possible this farmstead dates to the early nineteenth century, but most likely dates from the mid-nineteenth to the mid-twentieth century. Due to the limitations of the project boundaries, the NRHP eligibility of 16SM138 is unknown.

Site 16SM139 was first identified by a single positive transect shovel test. Delineations of the positive test were performed in 10-m intervals in cardinal directions until two negatives were reached. A second positive shovel test was located north of the original test (Figure 5.18). The remaining 12 delineation shovel tests were negative. Recovery at the site was limited. Artifacts were found up to a depth of 40 cmbs. Site 16SM139 is located within a fallow field covered in secondary growth of briars, late boneset and goldenrod on the east side of a paved access road (Figure 5.19). A pipeline corridor is located to the north and east of the site.

Site 16SM139 is located near the structure seen on the 1917 St. Martin soil survey map. The 1939 St. Martinsville, LA 15' topographic map shows several structures clustered at this location just north of a pond. The 1957 St. Martinsville 15' topographic map shows only one of these structures remaining. By the 1970 St. Martinsville 15' topographic map, no structures are depicted and only the pond is shown. A




Figure 5.16. Historic Ceramics collected at 16SM138: a-Blue shell edged whiteware rim, b-Flow Blue whiteware.




Figure 5.17. Olive green glass bottleneck fragment with tooled champagne finish collected at 16SM138.



Figure 5.18. Overview of 16SM139, facing east.



Figure 5.19. Overview of 16SM139, facing northwest.

review of aerial photographs of the site location revealed two large structures and three smaller structures clustered around the pond in 1958. The fields to the west appear to be cultivated and this is likely a small farmstead with a house, barn, and auxiliary structures. In 1969, the two large buildings and one of the smaller buildings are still extant. A smaller auxiliary building is now seen on the northern edge of the pond. By 1971, only the northernmost of the larger buildings can be seen, and the pond appears to be filled in. The fields surrounding the site location are still in cultivation. Today, a facility in an industrial park is located just north of the site. Efforts to connect these two positive shovel tests with the large newly recorded site 16SM138) just to the west were unsuccessful due to the distance between them; however, they are surely associated with one another.

Soils across the site were loose and silty at the surface becoming more compact with depth. A typical soil profile for site 16SM139 consists of 10 cm of brown (10YR 5/3) silty loam over a yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) silt mottled with strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) silt. A total of five artifacts were recovered from the site, including a single brick fragment, olive green container glass (n=2), aqua container glass (n=1), and colorless glass (n=1). The cultural items recovered date to the mid-nineteenth to mid twentieth centuries. These artifacts are consistent with those found at site 16SM138. This site may have been separated from 16SM138 during the construction of the paved access road between them. In light of this, the small recovery from the site and the lack of diagnostic artifacts limits the research potential at this site and as such 16SM139 is recommended ineligible for the NRHP.

STANDING STRUCTURES

There are no standing structures on the property.

HISTORIC AREAS

No historic areas are located within the project area boundaries.

CHAPTER 6

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

APA, under contract with One Acadiana of Lafayette, Louisiana, performed the Phase I cultural resources survey for the proposed South Schaefer Site project located in St. Martin Parish, Louisiana. The Phase I survey was performed between September 20 and October 6, 2023. The investigation identified two new archaeological sites (16SM138 and 16SM139) within the project area. These sites represent a mid-nineteenth to mid-twentieth century farmstead. Site 16SM139 has a limited artifact assemblage with a lack of diagnostic material. The site has limited research potential and is recommended ineligible for the NRHP. As site 16SM138 could not be delineated beyond the current project boundaries, the NRHP eligibility of the site is unknown. Avoidance is recommended. If Site 16SM138 cannot be avoided Phase II testing should be performed. The remainder of the study area is absent of cultural resources.

REFERENCES

Daigle, J.J., G.E. Griffith, J.M. Omernik, P.L. Faulkner, R.P. McCulloh, L.R. Handley, L.M. Smith, and S.S. Chapman

2006 Ecoregions of Louisiana (color poster with map, descriptive text, summary tables, and photographs): Reston, Virginia, U.S. Geological Survey.

Louisiana Division of Archaeology (LDOA)

2023 Louisiana Archaeological Site Files. Louisiana Division of Archaeology, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. Assessed online October 2023.

National Park Service

2023 *National Register of Historic Places*. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. Available online at www.cr.nps.gov/nr, accessed October 2023.

APPENDIX A
CURATION AGREEMENT

TROY UNIVERSITY



**Archaeological
Research Center**

Date: Nov. 1, 2023

Jon Glass
All Phases Archaeology
257 Pinehill Drive
Mobile, AL 36606

Dear Jon,

Per your request, this letter is to confirm our standing agreement to provide curation services for archaeological collections to All Phases Archaeology on an as-needed basis. As you know, we are recognized by a variety of Federal agencies as a repository meeting the standards in 36 CFR Part 79 and have formal agreements to provide curation under these guidelines to multiple federal agencies such as the Army National Guard and Natural Resources Conservation Service.

Please be advised that once a year we must be notified of all reports in which we were named as the repository. Project collections must be submitted within one calendar year of completion. Small projects may be complied for periodic submission. The AHC survey policy specifies which materials must be curated (Administrative Code of Alabama, Chapter 460-X-9). Renewal of this agreement is contingent upon compliance.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of assistance and look forward to working with you in the future.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Stephen Carmody'.

Stephen Carmody
Director
Archaeological Research Center
Troy University

APPENDIX B
ARTIFACT INVENTORY

Artifact Inventory from 2023.178

Site	Location	Type	Count	Weight (g)	Accession #
16SM138					
	<i>TR 3 ST 2/II/10-30 cmbs</i>				Bag: <u>1</u>
	brick fragment		1	0.7	2023.178003
	glass (olive green container)		3	6.8	2023.178001
	undecorated whiteware rim; 1 piece		2	3.6	2023.178002
	Location Totals		6	11.1	
	<i>TR 3 ST 1/I,II/0-30 cmbs</i>				Bag: <u>2</u>
	blue and red sponged whiteware		2	0.5	2023.178006
	brick fragment		3	27.8	2023.178008
	glass (olive green container)		3	3.9	2023.178004
	green glazed whiteware		3	3.2	2023.178007
	undecorated whiteware		1	0.3	2023.178005
	Location Totals		12	35.7	
	<i>TR 3 ST 3/II/5-30 cmbs</i>				Bag: <u>3</u>
	brick fragment		6	9.6	2023.178010
	glass (olive green bottleneck fragment with tooled champagne finish)		1	4.1	2023.178009
	Location Totals		7	13.7	
	<i>TR 2 ST 9/II/20-30 cmbs</i>				Bag: <u>4</u>
	undecorated		1	2.9	2023.178011
	Location Totals		1	2.9	
	<i>TR 4 ST 8/II/10-30 cmbs</i>				Bag: <u>5</u>
	brick fragment		5	16.9	2023.178012
	Location Totals		5	16.9	
	<i>TR 4 ST 9/I,II/5-30 cmbs</i>				Bag: <u>6</u>
	brick fragment		3	4.4	2023.178019
	ferrous metal wire nail fragment		2	4.4	2023.178018
	Flow Blue whiteware		2	8.1	2023.178017
	glass (amber container)		1	0.1	2023.178014
	glass (aqua container)		1	1.1	2023.178013
	glass (window)		1	0.7	2023.178015
	undecorated whiteware		2	3.3	2023.178016
	Location Totals		12	22.1	
	<i>TR 4 ST 10/II/15-30 cmbs</i>				Bag: <u>7</u>
	brick fragment		1	1.2	2023.178020
	Location Totals		1	1.2	
	<i>N 10/I/0-30 cmbs</i>				Bag: <u>8</u>
	undecorated whiteware		1	1.3	2023.178021
	Location Totals		1	1.3	
	<i>N 20/I/0-20 cmbs</i>				Bag: <u>9</u>
	undecorated whiteware		2	3.3	2023.178022
	Location Totals		2	3.3	
	<i>E 10/I/0-20 cmbs</i>				Bag: <u>10</u>
	black annular banded whiteware rim		1	2.9	2023.178024
	undecorated whiteware		1	1.2	2023.178023

<i>Site</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Type</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Weight (g)</i>	<i>Accession #</i>
		undifferentiated ferrous metal	1	109.8	2023.178025
	Location Totals		3	113.9	
	<i>E 40/I/0-30 cmbs</i>				Bag: 11
		brick fragment	2	0.8	2023.178027
		glass (aqua container)	1	2.8	2023.178026
	Location Totals		3	3.6	
	<i>S 10/I,II/0-30 cmbs</i>				Bag: 12
		brick fragment	10	27.2	2023.178030
		glass (olive green container)	1	0.6	2023.178028
		undecorated whiteware	1	1.1	2023.178029
	Location Totals		12	28.9	
	<i>S 40/I,II/0-30 cmbs</i>				Bag: 13
		black annular banded whiteware	1	1.0	2023.178032
		brick fragment	2	2.1	2023.178033
		red hand painted whiteware with floral design	1	1.0	2023.178031
	Location Totals		4	4.1	
	<i>S 50/I,II/0-25 cmbs</i>				Bag: 14
		brick fragment	2	13.3	2023.178034
	Location Totals		2	13.3	
	<i>W 10/I,II/0-30 cmbs</i>				Bag: 15
		blue shell edged whiteware rim	1	5.6	2023.178036
		brick fragment	2	2.8	2023.178037
		glass (olive green container)	3	8.3	2023.178035
		glazed brick fragment	1	33.2	2023.178038
	Location Totals		7	49.9	
	<i>W 20/I,II/0-25 cmbs</i>				Bag: 16
		brick fragment	3	2.4	2023.178044
		Flow Blue whiteware rim	2	5.3	2023.178042
		glass (colorless container)	3	3.4	2023.178039
		glass (olive green container)	1	2.1	2023.178040
		red and black hand painted whiteware with floral design	1	0.7	2023.178043
		undecorated whiteware	1	1.0	2023.178041
	Location Totals		11	14.9	
	<i>W 40/I,II/0-30 cmbs</i>				Bag: 17
		black annular banded whiteware	1	0.4	2023.178052
		blue glazed purple transfer printed whiteware	1	0.4	2023.178050
		brick fragment	9	30.2	2023.178053
		Flow Blue whiteware	1	1.2	2023.178049
		glass (aqua container)	1	0.3	2023.178046
		glass (colorless container)	1	0.2	2023.178045
		glass (olive green container)	4	2.9	2023.178047
		green hand painted whiteware	1	0.2	2023.178051
		undecorated whiteware	1	1.9	2023.178048
	Location Totals		20	37.7	
	<i>W 50/I,II/0-30 cmbs</i>				Bag: 18
		blue shell edged whiteware rim	1	1.4	2023.178057
		glass (colorless container)	1	1.1	2023.178054

<i>Site</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Type</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Weight (g)</i>	<i>Accession #</i>
		glass (olive green container)	1	0.2	2023.178055
		undecorated whiteware	1	3.1	2023.178056
		undifferentiated ferrous metal	1	3.4	2023.178058
		Location Totals	5	9.2	
	<i>N 10 E 10/II/10-50 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 19</u>
		brick fragment	1	8.0	2023.178059
		Location Totals	1	8.0	
	<i>N 20 E 10/II/5-50 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 20</u>
		brick fragment	2	83.7	2023.178060
		Location Totals	2	83.7	
	<i>N 30 E 10/II/5-50 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 21</u>
		brick fragment	2	22.8	2023.178062
		glass (olive green container)	1	4.2	2023.178061
		Location Totals	3	27.0	
	<i>S 10 E 10/I/0-20 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 22</u>
		brick fragment	1	130.7	2023.178063
		Location Totals	1	130.7	
	<i>S 20 E 10/I/0-15 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 23</u>
		brick fragment	3	6.4	2023.178064
		Location Totals	3	6.4	
	<i>N 10 E 20/II/5-50 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 24</u>
		blue hand painted whiteware	1	0.4	2023.178065
		Location Totals	1	0.4	
	<i>N 20 E 20/III/15-40 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 25</u>
		blue transfer printed whiteware with floral design	4	1.1	2023.178066
		Location Totals	4	1.1	
	<i>S 20 E 20/I/0-20 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 26</u>
		brick fragment	1	2.0	2023.178068
		glass (amethyst container; solarized)	1	2.1	2023.178067
		Location Totals	2	4.1	
	<i>N 10 E 30/II/10-50 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 27</u>
		brick fragment	1	1.3	2023.178070
		glass (colorless container base fragment)	1	4.6	2023.178069
		Location Totals	2	5.9	
	<i>N 40 E 30/I/0-20 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 28</u>
		brick fragment	1	0.6	2023.178073
		glass (amber container)	3	3.5	2023.178072
		glass (colorless container)	1	1.4	2023.178071
		Location Totals	5	5.5	
	<i>N 10 E 40/II/5-25 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 29</u>
		brick fragment	3	0.6	2023.178077
		glass (colorless container)	1	0.4	2023.178074
		undecorated whiteware	1	0.9	2023.178075
		undifferentiated ferrous metal	1	1.8	2023.178076
		Location Totals	6	3.7	
	<i>N 20 E 40/II/10-50 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 30</u>

<i>Site</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Type</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Weight (g)</i>	<i>Accession #</i>
		brick fragment	1	47.1	2023.178079
		glass (colorless container)	1	1.2	2023.178078
		Location Totals	2	48.3	
	<i>N 30 E 40/I,II/0-50 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 31</u>
		brick fragment	4	4.1	2023.178082
		glass (colorless container)	1	4.7	2023.178080
		glass (green container)	1	2.8	2023.178081
		Location Totals	6	11.6	
	<i>N 40 E 40/I,II/0-40 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 32</u>
		brick fragment	2	0.4	2023.178085
		glass (amethyst glass; solarized)	1	0.4	2023.178083
		undecorated whiteware	1	0.8	2023.178084
		Location Totals	4	1.6	
	<i>S 10 E 40/I/0-30 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 33</u>
		brick fragment	2	1.6	2023.178088
		glass (colorless container)	1	1.3	2023.178086
		undecorated whiteware	1	16.7	2023.178087
		Location Totals	4	19.6	
	<i>N 20 E 50/II/15-50 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 34</u>
		brick fragment	4	2.7	2023.178089
		Location Totals	4	2.7	
	<i>N 30 E 50/I,II/0-50 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 35</u>
		brick fragment	1	0.2	2023.178093
		ferrous metal machine-cut nail fragment	1	4.1	2023.178092
		glass (colorless container)	1	1.9	2023.178090
		glass (milkglass)	1	0.4	2023.178091
		Location Totals	4	6.6	
	<i>N 10 E 60/I/0-20 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 36</u>
		brick fragment	2	0.3	2023.178094
		unspecified bone	1	0.4	2023.178095
		Location Totals	3	0.7	
	<i>N 20 E 60/I,II/0-50 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 37</u>
		brick fragment	6	11.0	2023.178097
		coal	1	2.8	2023.178098
		undifferentiated ferrous metal	1	21.0	2023.178096
		Location Totals	8	34.8	
	<i>S 10 E 60/II/5-50 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 38</u>
		Bristol glazed exterior/Albany slipped interior stoneware	1	5.1	2023.178099
		Location Totals	1	5.1	
	<i>N 20 W 10/II/0-20 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 39</u>
		brick fragment	1	1.1	2023.178101
		yellowware	2	1.0	2023.178100
		Location Totals	3	2.1	
	<i>S 10 W 10/I,II/0-25 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 40</u>
		black annular banded green hand painted whiteware rim	1	0.3	2023.178105
		black annular banded whiteware rim	1	0.6	2023.178104

<i>Site</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Type</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Weight (g)</i>	<i>Accession #</i>
		black transfer printed whiteware	1	0.8	2023.178106
		brick fragment	7	15.4	2023.178107
		glass (olive green container)	4	4.3	2023.178102
		undecorated whiteware	2	1.3	2023.178103
		Location Totals	16	22.7	
	<i>S 20 W 10/I,II/0-30 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 41</u>
		brick fragment	3	21.6	2023.178109
		glass (amber container)	1	1.2	2023.178108
		Location Totals	4	22.8	
	<i>S 30 W 10/I,II/0-20 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 42</u>
		brick fragment	6	5.5	2023.178111
		undifferentiated ferrous metal	1	1.6	2023.178110
		Location Totals	7	7.1	
	<i>S 40 W 10/I,II/0-30 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 43</u>
		brick fragment	1	2.4	2023.178113
		slate	1	1.3	2023.178114
		undifferentiated ferrous metal	1	1.4	2023.178112
		Location Totals	3	5.1	
	<i>N 10 W 20/II/5-10 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 44</u>
		glass (olive green container)	1	0.7	2023.178115
		undecorated whiteware	1	1.3	2023.178116
		Location Totals	2	2.0	
	<i>N 20 W 20/II/10-25 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 45</u>
		blue annular banded whiteware rim	1	0.6	2023.178118
		glass (olive green container)	2	2.3	2023.178117
		Location Totals	3	2.9	
	<i>N 30 W 20/I/0-25 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 46</u>
		glass (olive green container)	1	1.8	2023.178119
		Location Totals	1	1.8	
	<i>S 10 W 20/I,II,III/0-40 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 47</u>
		brass metal tack	1	0.5	2023.178123
		brick fragment	5	3.1	2023.178124
		ferrous metal machine-cut nail fragment	1	1.7	2023.178122
		glass (olive green container)	1	0.3	2023.178120
		undecorated whiteware	6	6.9	2023.178121
		Location Totals	14	12.5	
	<i>S 20 W 20/I,II/0-15 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 48</u>
		brick fragment	3	3.9	2023.178125
		Location Totals	3	3.9	
	<i>S 30 W 20/I,II/0-25 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 49</u>
		blue shell edged whiteware rim	1	0.8	2023.178127
		brick fragment	1	0.7	2023.178128
		glass (olive green container)	1	0.1	2023.178126
		Location Totals	3	1.6	
	<i>S 40 W 20/I,II/0-30 cmbs</i>				<u>Bag: 50</u>
		brick fragment	4	2.7	2023.178129

<i>Site</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Type</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Weight (g)</i>	<i>Accession #</i>
	Location Totals		4	2.7	
	<i>N 10 W 30/I/0-20 cmbs</i>				Bag: 51
	blue annular banded whiteware		1	1.9	2023.178132
	blue hand painted whiteware		1	1.7	2023.178131
	blue shell edged whitewarwe rim		1	0.4	2023.178130
	brick fragment		2	1.2	2023.178134
	undifferentiated ferrous metal		1	64.7	2023.178133
	Location Totals		6	69.9	
	<i>N 20 W 30/I/0-10 cmbs</i>				Bag: 52
	glass (olive green container)		1	2.9	2023.178135
	purple transfer printed whiteware		1	0.5	2023.178136
	Location Totals		2	3.4	
	<i>S 10 W 30/I,II/0-25 cmbs</i>				Bag: 53
	black hand painted whiteware		1	0.5	2023.178140
	brick fragment		2	2.5	2023.178142
	glass (colorless container)		1	2.7	2023.178137
	glass (olive green container)		1	0.7	2023.178138
	undecorated whiteware		3	1.8	2023.178139
	undifferentiated ferrous metal		3	3.0	2023.178141
	Location Totals		11	11.2	
	<i>S 20 W 30/I,II/0-20 cmbs</i>				Bag: 54
	brick fragment		1	5.0	2023.178146
	glass (olive green container)		3	2.1	2023.178143
	undecorated whiteware		1	0.1	2023.178144
	undifferentiated ferrous metal		1	0.7	2023.178145
	Location Totals		6	7.9	
	<i>N 10 W 40/II/5-16 cmbs</i>				Bag: 55
	brick fragment		3	19.0	2023.178149
	glass (colorless container)		1	4.3	2023.178147
	undecorated whiteware		2	3.3	2023.178148
	Location Totals		6	26.6	
	<i>N 20 W 40/I,II/0-25 cmbs</i>				Bag: 56
	brick fragment		1	3.1	2023.178153
	glass (olive green container)		2	1.0	2023.178150
	teal transfer printed whiteware base fragment with partial unknown maker's mark		1	1.5	2023.178152
	undecorated whiteware		1	1.9	2023.178151
	Location Totals		5	7.5	
	<i>N 30 W 40/II/10-25 cmbs</i>				Bag: 57
	cuprous metal wire		1	2.8	2023.178155
	glass (olive green container)		1	1.6	2023.178154
	Location Totals		2	4.4	
	<i>S 20 W 40/I,II/0-25 cmbs</i>				Bag: 58
	brick fragment		1	0.7	2023.178158
	ferrous metal wire nail fragment		1	2.4	2023.178157
	glass (colorless container)		1	1.2	2023.178156
	Location Totals		3	4.3	

<i>Site</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Type</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Weight (g)</i>	<i>Accession #</i>
<i>S 30 W 40/I,II/0-30 cmbs</i>					<i>Bag: 59</i>
		brick fragment	1	1.0	2023.178160
		undifferentiated ferrous metal	1	3.0	2023.178159
	Location Totals		2	4.0	
<i>S 40 W 40/I,II/0-30 cmbs</i>					<i>Bag: 60</i>
		brick fragment	2	7.1	2023.178162
		ferrous metal machine-cut nail fragment	1	1.5	2023.178161
	Location Totals		3	8.6	
<i>N 20 W 50/I/0-25 cmbs</i>					<i>Bag: 61</i>
		black annular banded whiteware	1	0.4	2023.178167
		blue shell edged whiteware rim	1	0.8	2023.178165
		brick fragment	1	1.3	2023.178170
		Flow Blue whiteware	1	5.0	2023.178166
		glass (colorless; melted)	1	0.7	2023.178163
		glass (olive green container)	2	1.0	2023.178164
		undecorated whiteware	2	6.5	2023.178168
		undifferentiated ferrous metal	1	20.7	2023.178169
	Location Totals		10	36.4	
<i>N 30 W 50/I/0-10 cmbs</i>					<i>Bag: 62</i>
		blue and black hand painted whiteware	1	0.4	2023.178172
		brick fragment	1	27.5	2023.178174
		glass (olive green container)	1	0.8	2023.178171
		undifferentiated ferrous metal	1	4.7	2023.178173
	Location Totals		4	33.4	
<i>N 40 W 50/I/0-20 cmbs</i>					<i>Bag: 63</i>
		brick fragment	2	1.3	2023.178176
		undecorated whiteware	2	4.7	2023.178175
	Location Totals		4	6.0	
<i>S 20 W 50/I/0-20 cmbs</i>					<i>Bag: 64</i>
		glass (olive green container)	2	12.1	2023.178177
	Location Totals		2	12.1	
<i>N 10 W 60/I/0-20 cmbs</i>					<i>Bag: 65</i>
		brick fragment	2	68.1	2023.178178
	Location Totals		2	68.1	
<i>E 70/II/10-50 cmbs</i>					<i>Bag: 66</i>
		brick fragment	2	5.2	2023.178180
		undifferentiated ferrous metal	1	16.3	2023.178179
	Location Totals		3	21.5	
<i>N 10 E 70/I,II/0-50 cmbs</i>					<i>Bag: 67</i>
		brick fragment	5	211.7	2023.178185
		glass (amber container)	1	1.9	2023.178181
		undecorated porcelain	1	1.4	2023.178183
		undecorated whiteware base fragment	1	24.3	2023.178182
		undifferentiated ferrous metal with 2 holes; possible latch	1	83.3	2023.178184
	Location Totals		9	322.6	
<i>N 20 E 70/I,II/0-50 cmbs</i>					<i>Bag: 68</i>
		brick fragment	5	39.1	2023.178188

<i>Site</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Type</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Weight (g)</i>	<i>Accession #</i>
		ferrous metal wire nail fragment	1	5.4	2023.178187
		undecorated whiteware	1	0.8	2023.178186
		Location Totals	7	45.3	
	<i>N 30 E 70/I,II/0-50 cmbs</i>				Bag: 69
		brick fragment	5	25.3	2023.178189
		Location Totals	5	25.3	
	<i>S 10 E 70/II/10-50 cmbs</i>				Bag: 70
		brick fragment	1	2.4	2023.178192
		glass (amber container)	1	2.0	2023.178191
		glass (colorless container)	1	1.2	2023.178190
		Location Totals	3	5.6	
	<i>S 10 E 80/I/0-30 cmbs</i>				Bag: 71
		brick with mortar; machine-made, pressed	1	680.4	2023.178199
		glass (aqua container)	3	21.9	2023.178195
		glass (colorless container)	3	2.9	2023.178193
		glass (colorless jar neck fragment with external thread finish)	3	14.3	2023.178194
		glass (green container)	1	2.8	2023.178196
		glass (window)	1	1.5	2023.178197
		glazed brick fragment; handmade	1	453.6	2023.178198
		Location Totals	13	1177.4	
	<i>TR 3 ST 5/II/10-20 cmbs</i>				Bag: 72
		glass (olive green container)	1	1.3	2023.178200
		Location Totals	1	1.3	
	<i>TR 3 ST 6/I,II/5-30 cmbs</i>				Bag: 73
		brick fragment	2	58.1	2023.178201
		Location Totals	2	58.1	
	<i>TR 3 ST 7/II,II/20-30 cmbs</i>				Bag: 74
		brick fragment	1	0.8	2023.178202
		Location Totals	1	0.8	
	<i>S 80/II/10-30 cmbs</i>				Bag: 75
		brick fragment	1	0.6	2023.178204
		glass (olive green container)	1	0.4	2023.178203
		Location Totals	2	1.0	
	<i>S 130/II/5-30 cmbs</i>				Bag: 76
		blue hand painted whiteware with clover design	1	2.2	2023.178205
		Location Totals	1	2.2	
	<i>S 170/II/5-30 cmbs</i>				Bag: 77
		undecorated whiteware	1	4.6	2023.178206
		Location Totals	1	4.6	
	<i>General Surface Collection/Surface</i>				Bag: 78
		blue shell edged whiteware	1	4.0	2023.178208
		brick fragment	2	344.5	2023.178209
		undecorated whiteware	1	4.5	2023.178207
		Location Totals	4	353.0	
Site Totals			363	3200.9	
16SM139					

<i>Site</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Type</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Weight (g)</i>	<i>Accession #</i>
<i>TR 7 ST 2/I/0-20 cmbs</i>					<i>Bag: 79</i>
	brick fragment		1	3.9	2023.178212
	glass (colorless container)		1	1.1	2023.178210
	glass (olive green container)		1	1.1	2023.178211
	Location Totals		3	6.1	
<i>N 10/II/10-40 cmbs</i>					<i>Bag: 80</i>
	glass (aqua container)		1	4.3	2023.178213
	glass (olive green container)		1	2.2	2023.178214
	Location Totals		2	6.5	
Site Totals			5	12.6	
Project Totals			368	3213.5	