Exhibit EE. Progress Point Site Wetlands Delineation Report

ONEACADIANA™

CSRS
IMAGINE SHAPE DELIVER

February 14, 2018

Mr. Zach Hager
One Acadiana
804 E St. Mary Blvd.
Lafayette, LA 70503

Progress Point Site Wetlands Delineation Report

RE: Wetland Delineation Findings
Progress Point Site
Iberia Parish, Louisiana

Mr. Hagar,

Thank you or the opportunity to provide One Acadiana our wetlands consulting services. Per your request, please find the attached wetland Delineation Report summarizing our findings at the Progress Point Site (Site) in Iberia Parish.

On January 26, 2018, I personally visited the NRCS office in New Iberia to conduct wetland research related to the agricultural field at the Site. The NRCS wetland classification and crop history are important considerations in the jurisdictional evaluation for wetlands at this particular location. On February 5th, Mr. Brandon Melville, consultant for Blue Ox Enterprises, LLC conducted a Level 2, onsite, routine wetland delineation of the Site. A detailed discussion of NRCS research and the onsite evaluation are found in the attached report along with the summary of findings.

Based on the methodology prescribed by the U.S. Corps of Engineers (USACE) and data collected, it is our professional opinion that no wetlands are present on the Site. Please be aware that an official jurisdictional determination can only be made by the USACE. Consultants such as Blue Ox can perform wetland delineations, and submit data collected in the prescribed manner to the USACE along with recommendations; however, it is the USACE that makes the final determination.

Should you have any questions regarding this evaluation, or require additional services including a request for a determination please do not hesitate to contact me at (337) 534-0370 or gregg@oneblueox.com.

Kindest Regards

Blue Ox Enterprises, LLC

Gregg Hamilton

Attachments: Wetland Delineation Report

cc: Elliot Boudreaux, Taylor Gravois

Routine Wetland Delineation Report

One Acadiana

Progress Point

February 2018

Table of Contents

BLUE OX ENTERPRISES, L.L.C.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

A routine wetland delineation was conducted by Blue Ox Enterprises, LLC on February 5, 2018 at Progress Point (Site). The purpose of the wetland delineation was to determine the presence/absence of wetlands. The property falls within existing and active agriculture fields.

The Site is located in Sections 8, 17, and 84, T12S-R6E. Geographically, the Site is located between Hwy. 90 E Frontage Road, Parish Rd 905, and Freetown Road west from Downtown New Iberia, Louisiana in Iberia Parish. The location of the Site is illustrated on the Vicinity Map (Appendix C).

2.0 METHODOLOGY

A review of the project site was conducted with the following tools to identify potential wetland indicators according to the 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual and Regional Supplement:

- USGS 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle maps,
- National Wetlands Inventory Maps
- Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States (Cowardin et al. 1979);
- State of Louisiana 2014 Wetland Plant List
- The PLANTS Database (USDA / NRCS);
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey
- USGS National Hydrography Dataset (NHD);
- Remote Sensing Aerial Photography including National Agricultural Imagery Program (NAIP) natural color and color infrared aerial photography;
- FEMA Floodplain Maps

Data sources were utilized as appropriate, findings were summarized, and a preliminary evaluation was conducted to determine potential existence of wetland indicators in the project area. After considering the preliminary data, a routine delineation method level was selected.

Per the 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual, the complexity of the project area and the quality and quantity of available information will be the influences governing the Routine Wetland Delineation Level. The three levels are as follows:

- Level 1 – An onsite inspection is unnecessary because existing information is sufficient for making a determination for the entire project area.
- Level 2 – An onsite inspection is necessary because insufficient information is available to characterize the vegetation, soils, and hydrology of the entire project area.
- Level 3 - An onsite inspection is necessary because sufficient information is available for a portion, but not all, of the project area.

This routine wetland delineation is a Level 2 Delineation. The delineators evaluated the three technical criteria: vegetation, hydrology, and soils in accordance with the 1987 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE) Wetlands Delineation Manual, and the Gulf Coastal Plain Regional Supplement to the 1987 manual. All three criteria must be present in order to be a jurisdictional wetland. The absence of any of these criteria could exclude an area from being a wetland under the jurisdiction of the Corps of Engineers.

2.1 Special considerations for delineating agricultural lands

Wetland determinations on current and former agricultural or silvicultural lands must consider whether a drainage system is present, how it is designed to function, and whether it is effective in removing wetland hydrology from the area.

The actively managed agricultural area was evaluated to determine if it was a wetland prior to agricultural use, and if the area would revert to wetlands if agricultural activities would cease.

The regional supplement guidance document was used during the evaluation of the agricultural area because in general:

- Wetlands used for agriculture often lack a natural plant community and may be altered by mowing, grazing, herbicide use, or other management practices;
- Soils may be disturbed by cultivation, land clearing, grading, or bedding, at least in the surface layers, and hydrology may or may not be manipulated; and
- Some areas still retain their natural wetland hydrology, but historic wetlands in other areas have been effectively drained and no longer meet wetland hydrology standards.

The wetland delineation in the agricultural area considered if:

- The plant community that would occupy the site under normal circumstances would be hydrophytic if the vegetation were not cleared or manipulated;
- The soil profile will exhibit hydric characteristics with or without agricultural management using standard or supplemental technical methodology;
- Wetland hydrology is present at the site under normal circumstances; and
- A drainage system is present, how it is designed to function, and whether it is effective in removing wetland hydrology from the area.

The Level 2 routine wetland delineation captured these considerations, and findings are incorporated into this report.

3.0 FINDINGS

A total of one sample plot was taken on the Site. The sample plot location was selected based on visual observations of changes in vegetation and/or topography. Plot 1 was taken on the non-cultivated portion of the site. Recorded data forms are presented in Appendix A. Agricultural considerations are discussed in the findings.

Photographs are presented in Appendix B. The photographs illustrate typical conditions that were observed at the plot and various locations.

Locations of the sample plot relative to the Site can be referenced in Appendix C.

3.1 Hydrology

3.1.1 General Site Characteristics

The majority of the property falls within existing and active agriculture fields. The site is relatively flat. The site slopes generally northeast to southwest. The active crop is sugar cane. The northern most portion of the site is not being cultivated. The cultivated and non-cultivated areas are relatively well drained. Drainage improvements include shallow agriculture ditches within and along the perimeter of the site. A shallow isolated waterbody resembling a remnant agriculture ditch exists within the non- cultivated area. Hydrologic connectivity associated with the water body was not observed.

3.1.1 Agricultural Consideration

Historical aerial photographs were evaluated to examine agricultural practices from 1998 to present. Additionally, farm records were pulled to verify active crop rotation every five years as far back as the Farm Service records were readily available (2008 to present). The farm records reveal the site has been actively farmed, however, records earlier than 2008 are archived off site and not readily available. Aerial Photographs from 1998 to present also reveal active farming, and do not reveal any indicators of existing wetland hydrology. The site does not contain any notable current or historical drains or hydrologic manipulation from agricultural practices. A deep-cut drain exists 500 feet east of the site on the other side of Freetown Road. The drain is +10 deep and at the time of the field visit, had 1-2 feet of water in it. The recent crop history and historical aerials do not reveal any long-term inactivity that could constitute field abandonment. See Appendix D for farming records.

3.1.2 Sample Plot Data

Sample Plot 1 did not contain indicators of wetland hydrology. The wetland hydrology indicators, remarks, and determinations can be reviewed in detail on the data sheet located in Appendix A.

3.2 Vegetation

3.2.1 General Site Characteristics

The site consists of agricultural fields, used for the cultivation of sugar cane, and a herbaceous community of vegetation. The PC determination conducted in 1988 did not reveal any farmed wetlands or prior-converted wetlands within the subject property.

3.2.2 Agricultural Consideration

NWI Maps, Soil Maps and existing topography at the Site and in the immediate vicinity were considered during the hydrophytic vegetative evaluation. Based on typical mapped soils as well as visually examined topography, no hydrophytic vegetation would be anticipated should agricultural practices cease for an extended period. The NWI map failed to reveal any other indicators that could lead to an emergence of hydrophytic vegetation in the extended absence of agricultural activities.

3.2.3 Sample Plot Data

One sample plot was taken on the site. Plot 1, representative of the non-cultivated area, did not meet the criteria for presence of wetland vegetation. The vegetation for Plot 1 is noted in Appendix A. Dominance/Prevalence calculations, vegetation, criteria determination can be referenced in the corresponding data sheets. Photos can be found in Appendix B.

3.3 Soils

3.3.1 General Site Characteristics

According to the Iberia Parish Survey, the Site contains the following NRCS mapped soil types (Appendix C):

Map Symbol	Soil Name	Hydric Rating
Ja	Jeanerette silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes	5% hydric
Pa	Patoutville silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes	5% hydric

3.3.2 Agricultural Consideration

NRCS soil survey maps and the Highly Erodible Land and Wetland Conservation Determination (SCS-CPA-026 form) conducted in 1987 were considered during the agricultural review for potential hydric soils. The SCS-CPA-026 form was originally completed August 10, 1987 without a field verification. The evaluation failed to reveal any hydric soils or highly erodible soils on the Site. The entire site was mapped as a non-wet cropland. The NRCS soil survey failed to reveal any hydric soils on the Site or in the immediate vicinity of the site. See Appendix D for farming records.

3.3.3 Sample Plot Data

Sample Plot 1, did not meet the criteria for the presence of hydric soil for a wetland. Soil characteristics associated with Plot 1 can be found in the corresponding data sheet located in Appendix A.

4.0 SUMMARY AND COMCLUSIONS

4.1 Data Summary

Sample Plot 1, which was taken in the non-cultivated portion of the site, did not meet any of the three wetland criteria. Plot 1 did not meet the criteria for the presence of a wetland. The following table illustrates the results of the sample plot data:

Data Plot	Hydrology	Vegetation	Soils
Plot 1	N	N	N

4.2 Conclusion

Based on the methodology applied as discussed in this report (including agricultural research) and data collected, it is Blue Ox's professional opinion that no wetlands are present on the Site.

A jurisdictional wetland determination can only be made by the U.S. Corps of Engineers (USACE). Consultants such as Blue Ox can perform wetland delineations, and submit data collected in the prescribed manner to the USACE along with recommendations; however, it is the USACE that makes the final determination. The New Orleans District of the USACE has jurisdiction in the area of this site.

5.0 REFERENCES

Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. 1987. Technical Report Y-87-1.

National List of Vascular Plants Species that Occur in Wetlands. Prepared by Ecology Section, National Wetlands Inventory, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 1998. Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States, version 6.0. G.W. Hurt, Whited, P.M., and Pringle, R.F. (eds.). USDA, NRCS, Fort Worth, TX.

Soil Mapping Units and Hydric Soils Designations Louisiana. May 1995. Third Edition

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. October 2008. Interim Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region. Final Report

6.0 DEFINITIONS

Term	Definition
Aerobic	A situation in which molecular oxygen is a part of the environment.
Anaerobic	A situation in which molecular oxygen is absent (or effectively so) from the environment
Atypical situation	As used herein, this term refers to areas in which one or more parameters (vegetation, soil, and/or hydrology) have been sufficiently altered by recent human activities or natural events to preclude the presence of wetland indicators of the parameter.
Dominance Test	This evaluation test ranks plant species that immediately exceed 50% of the total dominance measure for a vegetation stratum, plus any additional species comprising 20% or more of the total dominance measure for that stratum. As part of the vegetation criteria, species dominance is evaluated using the "50/20 rule."
Growing season	The portion of the year when soil temperatures at 19.7 in. below the soil surface are higher than biologic zero (5 (C) (U.S. Department of Agriculture & Soil Conservation Service 1985). For ease of determination this period can be approximated by the number of frost-free days (U.S Department of the Interior 1970).
Hydric Soils	Hydric soils are defined as soils that are formed under conditions of saturation, flooding, or ponding long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper part (Federal Register, July 13, 1994). Almost all hydric soils exhibit characteristic morphologies that are a result of repeated periods of saturation and/or inundation for more than a few days at a time. Saturation and inundation causes a depletion of oxygen in the soil when combined with anaerobic microbial activity in the soil. This anaerobiosis process results in characteristic morphologies such as the reduction, translocation, and/or the accumulation of iron. This process forms features in the soil that are called redoximorphic features that are particularly useful for identifying hydric soils. The soil investigation criterion requires the use of a soil probe or a pit excavated to a 16-inch depth in order to investigate for hydric indicators. These indicators typically include, but are not limited to: • gleyed or low-chroma colors (redoximorphic features) • mottles (redoximorphic features) • listed on the local hydric soils list • listed on the national hydric soils list • concretions (redoximorphic features).
Hydrophytic Species	Hydrophytic species, due to morphological, physiological, and/or reproductive adaptation(s), have the ability to grow, effectively compete, reproduce, and/or persist in anaerobic soil conditions.

<table>
<tr><th>Term</th><th>Definition</th></tr>
<tr><td>Hydrophytic Vegetation</td><td>In order for the vegetation to be considered hydrophytic (wet), the prevalent vegetation must consist of macrophytes that are typically adapted to areas having hydrologic and soil conditions unique to wetlands (e.g. must be hyrdophytic species). Prevalent vegetation is characterized by the dominant species comprising the plant community or communities. Dominant plant species are those that contribute more to the character of a plant community than other species present, as estimated or measured in terms of some ecological parameter or parameters. The two most commonly used estimates of dominance are basal area (trees) and percent areal cover (herbs). During a routine wetland delineation, the rapid test, dominance test, and prevalence index are predominantly used to determine if hydrophtic vegetation is present at a sample plot.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Macrophytes</td><td>Macrophytes are any plant material that can be seen without the aid of magnification.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Plant Indicator Status Categories</td><td>Categories originally developed and defined by the USFWS National Wetlands Inventory and subsequently modified by the National Plant List Panel. The three facultative categories are subdivided by (+) and (-) modifiers.
<table>
<tr><th>Indicator Category</th><th>Indicator Symbol</th><th>Definition</th></tr>
<tr><td>Obligate Wetland Plants</td><td>(OBL)</td><td>Plants that occur almost always (estimated probability >99%) in wetlands under natural conditions, but which may also occur rarely (estimated probability <1%) in non-wetlands.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Facultative Wetland Plants</td><td>(FACW)</td><td>Plants that occur usually (estimated probability >67% to 99%) in wetlands, but also occur (estimated probability 1% to 33%) in non-wetlands.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Facultative Plants</td><td>(FAC)</td><td>Plants with a similar likelihood (estimated probability 33% to 67%) of occurring in both wetlands and non-wetlands.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Facultative Upland Plants</td><td>(FACU)</td><td>Plants that occur sometimes (estimated probability 1% to <33%) in wetlands, but occur more often (estimated probability >67% to 99%) in non-wetlands.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Obligate Upland Plants</td><td>(UPL)</td><td>Plants that occur rarely (estimated probability <1%) in wetlands, but occur almost always (estimate probability >99%) in non-wetlands under natural conditions.</td></tr>
</table></td></tr>
<tr><td>Prevalence Index</td><td>The prevalence index is a wetland indicator which takes into account all plant species and calculates a weighted average by assigning each indicator status category a numeric code (OBL = 1, FACW = 2, FAC = 3, FACU = 4, and UPL = 5). Plant species are also weighted by their abundance. It is a more comprehensive analysis of the hydrophytic status of a community that one based on a few dominant species. \The prevalence index ranges from 1 to 5, and a prevalence index of 3.0 or less indicates that hydrophytic vegetation is present. If, using the dominance test, the recorded plant species does not exceed 50% of the total dominance, the prevalence index shall be used to determine if hydrophytic vegetation is present.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Rapid Test for hydrophytic vegetation</td><td>The Rapid Test is intended as a quick confirmation in obvious cases that a site has hydrophytic vegetation without the need for intensive sampling. When, based on visual assessment, all dominant species across all strata are rated OBL, FACW, or a combination of these two categories, the rapid test confirms hydrophytic vegetation is present at the site.</td></tr>
</table>

Term	Definition
Routine wetland determination	A type of wetland determination in which office data and/or relatively simple, rapidly applied onsite methods are employed to determine whether or not an area is a wetland. Most wetland determinations are of this type, which usually does not require collection of quantitative data.
Sample plot	An area of land used for measuring or observing existing conditions
Transect	As used herein, a line on the ground along which observations are made at some interval
Typically Adapted	The term "typically adapted" refers to a species being normally or commonly suited to a given set of environmental conditions, due to some morphological, physiological, or reproductive adaptation. Species that have a wetland indicator status of OBL, FACW, or FAC are considered to be typically adapted for life in anaerobic soil conditions.
Under normal circumstances	As used in the definition of wetlands, this term refers to situations in which the vegetation has not been substantially altered by man's activities.
Upland	As used herein, any area that does not qualify as a wetland because the associated hydrologic regime is not sufficiently wet to elicit development of vegetation, soils, and/or hydrologic characteristics associated with wetlands. Such areas occurring within floodplains are more appropriately termed non-wetlands.
Wetlands	The Corps of Engineers and the EPA jointly define wetlands as those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas. Wetlands have the following general diagnostic environmental characteristics: (1) Hydrophytic Vegetation (2) Hydric Soils (3) Wetland Hydrology Except in unique situations defined in the 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual and appropriate Regional Supplement, evidence of a minimum of one positive wetland indicator from each parameter (hydrology, soil, and vegetation) must be found in order to make a positive wetland determination.
Wetland boundary	The point on the ground at which a shift from wetlands to non-wetlands or aquatic habitats occurs. These boundaries usually follow contours.
Wetland determination	The process or procedure by which an area is adjudged a wetland or non-wetland by the US Army Corps of Engineers.

<table>
<tr><th>Term</th><th>Definition</th></tr>
<tr><td>Wetland Hydrology</td><td>As defined by the 1987 COE Manual, the term “wetland hydrology” encompasses all hydrologic characteristics of areas that are periodically inundated (at mean water depths less than or equal to 6.6 feet) or have soils saturated to the surface at some time during the growing season of prevalent vegetation. Evident characteristics of wetland hydrology are generally found in areas where the presence of water has an overriding influence on characteristics of vegetation and soils due to anaerobic and reducing conditions.

Wetland hydrology indicators provide evidence that the Site currently has a wetland hydrologic regime. They may not provide an abundance of information about long-term wetness conditions on a given site; however, when coupled with the presence of hydrophytic vegetation and hydric soils, hydrology indicators provide evidence of long-term as well as short-term wetland conditions. In order to meet the hydrology criteria of a wetland, a sample location must meet one primary indicator or two secondary indicators.
<table>
<tr><th>Primary Indicators include:</th><th>Secondary Indicators include:</th></tr>
<tr><td>• Surface Water (A1)
• High Water Table (A2)
• Saturation (A3)
• Water Marks (B1)
• Sediment Deposits (B2)
• Drift Deposits (B3)
• Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
• Iron Deposits (B5)
• Inundation visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
• Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
• Aquatic Fauna (B13)
• Marl Deposits (B15) (LRR U)
• Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
• Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
• Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
• Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
• Thin Muck Surface (C7)
• Other (Explain in Remarks)</td><td>• Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
• Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
• Drainage Patterns (B10)
• Moss Trim Lines (B16)
• Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
• Crayfish Burrows (C8)
• Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
• Geomorphic Position (D2)
• Shallow Aquitard (D3)
• FAC-Neutral Test (D5)</td></tr>
</table>
</td></tr>
</table>

APPENDIX A – DATA SHEETS

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region

Project/Site: Progress Point City/County: Iberia Sampling Date: 2/5/2018

Applicant/Owner: One Acadiana State: LA Sampling Point: 1

Investigator(s): Brandon Melville Section, Township, Range: 17, T12S-R6E

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Flat Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): 0

Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR P Lat: 30 00 22.37 Long: 91 52 30.67 Datum: wgs 84

Soil Map Unit Name: Pa-Patoutville silt loam, 0-1 percent slope NWI classification: NA

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ✓ No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ✓ No _____

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____	No ✓	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes _____ No ✓
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____	No ✓	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____	No ✓	

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)
- ___ Marl Deposits (B15) **(LRR U)**
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____

Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No ✓

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

no wetland hydrology indicators observed

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: 1

Tree Stratum (Plot sizes:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
	= Total Cover		
Sapling Stratum ( )			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
	= Total Cover		
Shrub Stratum ( )			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
	= Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (30')			
1. Paspalum notatum	45	yes	FACU
2. Andropogon virginicus	40	yes	FAC
3. Solidago altissma	10	no	FACU
4. Verbena bonariensis	5	no	FAC
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
12.			
	100 = Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum ( )			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	= Total Cover		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	45	x 3 =	130
FACU species	55	x 4 =	220
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		350 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.5

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ Dominance Test is >50%

___ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in diameter at breast height (DBH).

Sapling – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.

Shrub – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, including herbaceous vines, regardless of size. Includes woody plants, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.

Woody vine – All woody vines, regardless of height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** ____ **No** ✓

Remarks: (If observed, list morphological adaptations below).

SOIL

Sampling Point: 1

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth	Matrix		Redox Features					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-12	10yr 6/3	90	10yr 4/1	10	D	M	silt loam	
12-16	10yr 6/4	80	10yr 4/1	10	D	M	silt loam	
			7.5yr 5/6	10	C	M		

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Stratified Layers (A5)
- ___ Organic Bodies (A6) **(LRR P, T, U)**
- ___ 5 cm Mucky Mineral (A7) **(LRR P, T, U)**
- ___ Muck Presence (A8) **(LRR U)**
- ___ 1 cm Muck (A9) **(LRR P, T)**
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(MLRA 150A)**
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) **(LRR O, S)**
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Dark Surface (S7) **(LRR P, S, T, U)**
- ___ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(LRR S, T, U)**
- ___ Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(LRR S, T, U)**
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(LRR O)**
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ___ Marl (F10) **(LRR U)**
- ___ Depleted Ochric (F11) **(MLRA 151)**
- ___ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR O, P, T)**
- ___ Umbric Surface (F13) **(LRR P, T, U)**
- ___ Delta Ochric (F17) **(MLRA 151)**
- ___ Reduced Vertic (F18) **(MLRA 150A, 150B)**
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 149A)**
- ___ Anomalous Bright Loamy Soils (F20) **(MLRA 149A, 153C, 153D)**

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 1 cm Muck (A9) **(LRR O)**
- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR S)**
- ___ Reduced Vertic (F18) **(outside MLRA 150A,B)**
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(LRR P, S, T)**
- ___ Anomalous Bright Loamy Soils (F20) **(MLRA 153B)**
- ___ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) (LRR T, U)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** _____ **No** ✓

Remarks:

APPENDIX B – PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo 1 – Plot 1, Soil Sample

Photo 2 – Plot 1, Vegetation facing easterly

Photo 3 – Plot 1, Vegetation facing northerly

Photo 4 – Typical vegetative characteristics of non-cultivated portions of the site

Photo 5 – Existing drive from frontage road

Photo 6 – Cultivated portion located near the southwest corner of the site facing northerly

Photo 7 - Cultivated portion located near the southwest corner of the site facing easterly

Photo 8 - Cultivated portion located near the northeast corner of the site facing southwesterly

Photo 9 - Cultivated portion located near the northeast corner of the site facing westerly

Photo 10 - Cultivated portion located near the northeast corner of the site facing southerly

Photo 11 – Isolated waterbody associated within non-cultivated portion of the site

APPENDIX C – VICINITY MAP

LEGEND

Plot 1 = Wetland Data Plot taken at 30º 00' 22.37" N, 91º 52' 30.67" W
Ja = Jeanerette silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes 5% hydric
Pa = Patoutville silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes 5% hydric

Vicinity Map

One Acadiana

Progress Pointe Site
Iberia Parish, Louisiana

For Jurisdictional Determination Purposes Only	Rev: (Date:Initial)	Created by:	GBH
		Date:	02/14/2018
This document is not to be used for construction, bidding, recordation, conveyance or sales.		Job #	18005
		Page	1 of 1

Data Sources

1. Background data sourced from Google Earth (2/8/17 photo)
   Boundary line provided by One Acadiana. Data Sample obtained by wetland delineator in the field (WGS 84, hand-held GPS)

2. No survey or engineering data was collected as a part of this application submittal.

APPENDIX D – FARM RECORDS

(KY) (15)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Soil Conservation Service

SCS-CPA-026
(1/87)

HIGHLY ERODIBLE LAND AND WETLAND CONSERVATION DETERMINATION

1. NAME AND ADDRESS OF PRODUCER: Irin Tourtec

2. DATE OF REQUEST: 8-10-87

3. NAME OF USDA AGENCY OR PRODUCER REQUESTING DETERMINATION:

4. FARM NO. AND TRACT NO. (S): F I-65(1107) T 521

5. COUNTY: Iberia

SECTION I – HIGHLY ERODIBLE LAND

	YES	NO	TRACT NO.	FIELD NO.(S)	TOTAL ACRES
6. Is a soil survey now available for making a highly erodible land determination?	✓				
7. Are there highly erodible soil map units on this farm?		✓			
8. a. List highly erodible tract and fields that, according to ASCS records, were used to produce an agricultural commodity in any crop year during 1981–1985.					
b. Is an approved conservation plan being actively applied on all of these fields? If "no," list the tract and fields (from the ASCS records) on which a plan is not being applied.					
9. a. List highly erodible tract and fields that, according to ASCS records, have been or will be converted for the production of agricultural commodities, were not used for this purpose in any crop year during 1981–1985, and were not enrolled in a USDA set-aside or diversion program.					
b. Is an approved conservation system being used on these fields? If "no," list the tract and fields (from the ASCS records) on which a system is not being used.					
10. Are there other fields or unnumbered areas that (1) have highly erodible map units, (2) were not used to produce an agricultural commodity in any crop year after 1980, and (3) were not enrolled in a USDA set-aside or diversion program in any crop year during 1981–1985?					

11. CERTIFICATION: The _____ (no.) conservation plan(s) was (were) approved by the _____ Conservation District on _____, 19____, and conform with technical requirements of the SCS field office technical guide. Conservation systems included in the conservation plan(s) applied _____ (no.).

SECTION II – WETLAND

	YES	NO	TRACT NO.	FIELD NO.(S)	TOTAL ACRES
12. Are hydric soils on this farm? If "yes," list tract and fields (from the ASCS records) or unnumbered areas (un) in which they occur.		✓		Cropland	
13. Do fields that were or will be used to produce an agricultural commodity contain wetland? If "yes," list tract and fields, outline the wetland areas within fields on the ASCS photograph(s), and mark with "w" for wetland; "aw" for artificial and irrigation induced wetland; "mw" for wetland on which the conversion would result in minimal effect.					
14. Are there converted wetlands on this farm that have been converted since December 23, 1985? If "yes," list the tract and fields, outline converted wetlands on the ASCS photograph(s), and mark with "cw".					
15. The wetland determination was done in the office [✓] field [ ].					

16. This determination was hand delivered [ ] mailed [✓] to the producer on _____ (DATE)

Any producer who does not agree with this determination may request reconsideration from the person making this determination. This request is a prerequisite for any further appeal. The request must be in writing and must set forth reasons for the request. The request must be mailed or delivered within 15 days after written notice of the determination is mailed to or otherwise made available to the producer.

17. REMARKS

18. SIGNATURE OF SCS DISTRICT CONSERVATIONIST: Nolan Kleinpeter — DATE: 10-30-87

Assistance and programs of the Soil Conservation Service are available without regard to race, religion, color, sex, age, handicap, or national origin.

SCS copy


MAP No.
F5
T521
T522
T523
T526
T527
T530
T531
T534
T557
T632
PLANT CANE
1ST. STUBBLE
2ND. STUBBLE
OTHER
Tauriac
Drain

Iberia, Louisiana

PROGRAM YEAR: 2017

FSA - 578 (09-13-16)

REPORT OF COMMODITIES
FARM AND TRACT DETAIL LISTING

DATE: 7-13-2017
PAGE: 1

Farm Number: 1107

Original: _____
Revision: _____
Cropland: 43.77
Farmland: 60.36

Operator Name and Address

ULYSSE GONSOULIN & SONS INC
4812 JEFFERSON ISLAND RD
NEW IBERIA, LA 70560-9411

Tract Number	CLU/ Field	Crop/ Commodity	Variety/ Type	Irr Prc	Int Use	Actual Use	Land Use	Organic Status	Native Sod	C/C Status	Reporting Unit	Reported Quantity	Determined Quantity	Crop Land	Field ID	Official/ Measured	Planting Date	Planting Period	End Date
521	1	SOYBN	COM	N	GR			C	N	I	A	38.68		Yes			4-1-2017	01	
		Producer ULYSSE GONSOULIN & SONS INC							Share	100.00		FSA Physical Location: Iberia, Louisiana						NAP Unit 1078	
	2	GRASS	NAG	N	LS			C	N	IV	A	3.54		Yes				01	2050
		Producer IRIN TAURIAC							Share	50.00		FSA Physical Location: Iberia, Louisiana						NAP Unit 2832	
		LUCINDA TAURIAC								50.00									
	11	IDLE		N				C	N	IV	A	1.55		Yes				01	2050
		Producer ULYSSE GONSOULIN & SONS INC							Share	100.00		FSA Physical Location: Iberia, Louisiana						NAP Unit 1078	

PP	Cr/Co	Var/Type	Irr Prc	Int Use	Non-Irr	Irr	PP	Cr/Co	Var/Type	Irr Prc	Int Use	Non-Irr	Irr	PP	Cr/Co	Var/Type	Irr Prc	Int Use	Non-Irr	Irr
01	IDLE		N		1.55		01	SOYBN	COM	N	GR	38.68		01	GRASS	NAG	N	LS	3.54	

Photo Number/Legal Description: Not Applicable

Cropland: 43.77 Reported on Cropland: 43.77 Difference: 0.00 Reported on Non-Cropland: 0.00

Iberia, Louisiana

PROGRAM YEAR: 2017

FSA - 578 (09-13-16)

REPORT OF COMMODITIES FARM SUMMARY

DATE: 7-13-2017
PAGE: 2

Farm Number: 1107

Original: _____
Revision: _____
Cropland: 43.77
Farmland: 60.36

Operator Name and Address

ULYSSE GONSOULIN & SONS INC
4812 JEFFERSON ISLAND RD
NEW IBERIA, LA 70560-9411

NOTE: The following statement is made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 USC 552a as amended). The authority for requesting the information identified on this form is 7 CFR Part 718, the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (Pub L. 107-171), and the Agricultural Act of 2014 (Pub. L. 113-79). The information will be used to collect producer certification of the report of acreage of crops/commodities and land use data which is needed in order to determine producer eligibility to participate in and receive benefits under FSA programs. The information collected on the form may be disclosed to other Federal, State, Local government agencies, Tribal agencies, and nongovernmental entities that have been authorized access to the information by statute or regulation and/or as described in applicable Routine Uses identified in the System of Records Notice for USDA/FSA-2, Farm Records File (Automated) and USDA/FSA-14, Applicant/Borrower. Providing the requested information is voluntary. However, failure to furnish the requested information may result in a denial of the producers request to participate in and receive benefits under FSA programs. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0560-0175. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. The provisions of criminal and civil fraud, privacy, and other statutes may be applicable to the information provided. RETURN THIS COMPLETED FORM TO YOUR COUNTY FSA OFFICE.

Producer Name	Crop/ Commodity	Variety/ Type	Share	Crop/ Commodity	Variety/ Type	Share	Crop/ Commodity	Variety/ Type	Share
ULYSSE GONSOULIN & SONS INC	SOYBN	COM	100.00	IDLE		100.00			
IRIN TAURIAC	GRASS	NAG	50.00						
LUCINDA TAURIAC	GRASS	NAG	50.00						

Planting Period	Crop/ Commodity	Variety/ Type	Irr Prac	Int Use	Rpt Exp	Det Exp	Rpt Pvt	Det Pvt	Rpt Vol	Det Vol	Rpt NA	Det NA
01	IDLE		N						1.55			
01	GRASS	NAG	N	LS					3.54			

Planting Period	Crop/ Commodity	Variety/ Type	Irrigation Practice	Intended Use	Reported Quantity	Determined Quantity	Planting Period	Crop/ Commodity	Variety/ Type	Irrigation Practice	Intended Use	Reported Quantity	Determined Quantity
01	SOYBN	COM	N	GR	38.68								

CERTIFICATION: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that the acreage of crops/commodities and land uses listed herein are true and correct and that all required crops/commodities and land uses have been reported for the farm as applicable. Absent any different or contrary prior subsequent certification filed by any producer for any crop for which NAP coverage has been purchased, I certify that the applicable crop, type, practice, and intended use is not planted if it is not included on the Report of Commodities for this crop year. The signing of this form gives FSA representatives authorization to enter and inspect crops/commodities and land uses on the above identified land. A signature date (the date the producer signs the FSA-578) will also be captured.

Operator's Signature (By) Harold Gonsoulin | Date 7/13/17

In accordance with Federal civil rights law and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) civil rights regulations and policies, the USDA, its Agencies, offices, and employees, and institutions participating in or administering USDA programs are prohibited from discriminating based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity (including gender expression), sexual orientation, disability, age, marital status, family/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, political beliefs, or reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity, in any program or activity conducted or funded by USDA (not all bases apply to all programs). Remedies and complaint filing deadlines vary by program or incident. Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication for program information (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, American Sign Language, etc.) should contact the responsible Agency or USDAs TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TTY) or contact USDA through the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. Additionally, program information may be made available in languages other than English. To file a program discrimination complaint, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, AD-3027, found online at http://www.ascr.usda.gov/complaint_filing_cust.html and at any USDA office or write a letter addressed to USDA and provide in the letter all of the information requested in the form. To request a copy of the complaint form, call (866) 632-9992. Submit your completed form or letter to USDA by: (1) mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights 1400 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, D.C. 20250-9410; (2) fax: (202) 690-7442; or (3) email: program.intake@usda.gov. USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

Iberia Parish, Louisiana

plss_a_la

Cropland

Common Land Unit Tract Boundary

Non-Cropland

Wetland Determination Identifiers

- Restricted Use
- Limited Restrictions
- Exempt from Conservation Compliance Provisions

2017 Program Year

Map Created September 27, 2016

Farm **1107**

Tract **411**

Tract Cropland Total: 0.43 acres

LA045_T411

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Farm Service Agency (FSA) maps are for FSA Program administration only. This map does not represent a legal survey or reflect actual ownership; rather it depicts the information provided directly from the producer and/or National Agricultural Imagery Program (NAIP) imagery. The producer accepts the data 'as is' and assumes all risks associated with its use. USDA-FSA assumes no responsibility for actual or consequential damage incurred as a result of any user's reliance on this data outside FSA Programs. Wetland identifiers do not represent the size, shape, or specific determination of the area. Refer to your original determination (CPA-026 and attached maps) for exact boundaries and determinations or contact USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS).

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

Iberia Parish, Louisiana

plss_a_la

Tract Boundary

Common Land Unit

Cropland

Wetland Determination Identifiers

- Restricted Use
- Limited Restrictions
- Exempt from Conservation Compliance Provisions

2017 Program Year

Map Created September 27, 2016

Farm **1107**

Tract **521**

LA045_T521

Tract Cropland Total: 43.77 acres

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Farm Service Agency (FSA) maps are for FSA Program administration only. This map does not represent a legal survey or reflect actual ownership; rather it depicts the information provided directly from the producer and/or National Agricultural Imagery Program (NAIP) imagery. The producer accepts the data 'as is' and assumes all risks associated with its use. USDA-FSA assumes no responsibility for actual or consequential damage incurred as a result of any user's reliance on this data outside FSA Programs. Wetland identifiers do not represent the size, shape, or specific determination of the area. Refer to your original determination (CPA-026 and attached maps) for exact boundaries and determinations or contact USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS).

Iberia, Louisiana

FSA - 578 (02-01-91)

Farm Number: 1107

REPORT OF COMMODITIES
FARM SUMMARY

PROGRAM YEAR: 2012

DATE: 9-21-2012
PAGE: 2

Original: _____
Revision: _____
Cropland: 42.5
Farmland: 79.0

Operator Name and Address

ULYSSE GONSOULIN & SONS INC
4812 JEFFERSON ISLAND RD
NEW IBERIA, LA 70560-9411

NOTE: The authority for collecting the following information is Pub.L 107-76. This authority allows for the collection of information without prior OMB approval mandated by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The data will be used to determine eligibility for assistance. Furnishing the data is voluntary, however, without it assistance cannot be provided. The data may be furnished to any agency responsible for enforcing the provisions of the Act.

Producer Name	C/C	Share	C/C	Share
IRIN TAURIAC	GRASS	50.00		
LUCINDA TAURIAC	GRASS	50.00		
ULYSSE GONSOULIN & SONS INC	SCANE	100.00		

Crop/ Commodity	Variety/ Type	Irr Prac	Int Use	Rpt Exp	Det Exp	Rpt Pvt	Det Pvt	Rpt Vol	Det Vol
GRASS	NAG	N	LS					3.96	

Crop/ Commodity	Variety/ Type	Irrigation Practice	Intended Use	Reported Quantity	Determined Quantity	Crop/ Commodity	Variety/ Type	Irrigation Practice	Intended Use	Reported Quantity	Determined Quantity
SCANE		N	FH	38.57							

OPERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that the acreage of crops and land uses listed herein are true and correct, and that all required crops and land uses have been reported for the farm as applicable. The signing of this form gives FSA representatives authorization to enter and inspect crops and land uses on the above identified land. A signature date (the date the producer signs the FSA-578) will also be captured.

Operator's Signature (By): Ronald Gonsoulin

Date: 9-27-12

This program or activity will be conducted on a nondiscriminatory basis without regard to race, color, religion, national origin, sex, age, marital status, or disability.

Iberia, Louisiana

PROGRAM YEAR: 2012

FSA - 578 (02-01-91)

REPORT OF COMMODITIES
FARM AND TRACT DETAIL LISTING

DATE: 9-21-2012
PAGE: 1

Farm Number: 1107

Original: _____
Revision: _____
Cropland: 42.5
Farmland: 79.0

Operator Name and Address

ULYSSE GONSOULIN & SONS INC
4812 JEFFERSON ISLAND RD
NEW IBERIA, LA 70560-9411

Tract Number	CLU/ Field	Crop/ Commodity	Variety/ Type	Irr Prc	Int Use	Actual Use	Land Use	C/C Status	Reporting Unit	Reported Quantity	Determined Quantity	Crop Land	Field ID	Official/ Measured	Planting Date	Planting Period	End Date
521	1	SCANE		N	FH			I	A	38.57		Yes			8-11-2009	01	2020
		Producer	ULYSSE GONSOULIN & SONS INC						Share	100.00		RMA Unit				NAP Unit	1078
	2	GRASS	NAG	N	LS			IV	A	3.96		Yes				01	
		Producer	IRIN TAURIAC						Share	50.00		RMA Unit					
			LUCINDA TAURIAC							50.00							

Cr/Co	Var/Type	Irr Prc	Int Use	Non-Irrig	Irrigated	Cr/Co	Var/Type	Irr Prc	Int Use	Non-Irrig	Irrigated	Cr/Co	Var/Type	Irr Prc	Int Use	Non-Irrig	Irrigated
GRASS	NAG	N	LS	3.96		SCANE		N	FH	38.57							

Photo Number/Legal Description: Not Applicable

Cropland: 42.50 Reported on Cropland: 42.53 Difference: 0.03 Reported on Non-Cropland: 0

FSN: 1107 T-521 E-5
Irin and Lucinda Tauriac



Prepared by FSA Shares _____ CL 42

Date: 12/08/2010

Disclaimer: Wetland identifiers do not represent the size, shape or specific determination of the area. Refer to your original determination (CPA-026 and attached maps) for exact wetland boundaries and determinations, or contact NRCS.

FSA-578 (02-01-91)

REPORT OF COMMODITIES

FARM SUMMARY

PROGRAM YEAR 2008

FARM NUMBER: 1107

DATE: 06-13-2008

Original: 1

Revision: _____

Operator Name and Address ID

ULYSSE GONSOULIN & SONS INC 4470
4812 JEFFERSON ISLAND RD
NEW IBERIA, LA 70560-9411

2008

Cropland: 51.9

Farmland: 79.0

NOTE: The authority for collecting the following information is Pub.L 107-76. This authority allows for the collection of information without prior OMB approval mandated by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The data will be used to determine eligibility for assistance. Furnishing the data is voluntary, however, without it assistance cannot be provided. The data may be furnished to any agency responsible for enforcing the provisions of the Act.

Producer Name	ID	C/C	Share	C/C	Share
ULYSSE GONSOULIN & SONS INC	4470	WHEAT	1.0000	GRASS	1.0000

Crop Type	Prac	IU	Reported	Determined	Crop Type	Prac	IU	Reported	Determined
GRASS NAG	N	GZ	14.80		WHEAT SRW	N	GR	37.10	

OPERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that the acreage of crops and land uses listed herein are true and correct, and that all required crops and land uses have been reported for the farm as applicable. The signing of this form gives FSA representatives authorization to enter and inspect crops and land uses on the above identified land.

Operator's Signature: by Ronald Gonsoulin

Date: 7/18/8

This program or activity will be conducted on a nondiscriminatory basis without regard to race, color, religion, national origin, sex, age, marital status, or disability.

FSA-578 (02-01-91) REPORT OF COMMODITIES PROGRAM YEAR 2008

FARM NUMBER: 1107 FARM AND TRACT DETAIL LISTING DATE: 06-13-2008

Original: 2

Revision: _____

Operator Name and Address ID

ULYSSE GONSOULIN & SONS INC 4470
4812 JEFFERSON ISLAND RD
NEW IBERIA, LA 70560-9411

Cropland: 51.9

Farmland: 79.0

Tract Number	CLU/ Field	Irr Prc	C/C	Var/ Type	Int Use	Lnd Use	Rpt Unt	Reported Quantity	Determined Quantity	Crp Lnd	O/ M	C/C Stat	Prod Share	Prod ID	RMA Unt	Opt Unt
411	1	Ni	GRASS	NAG	Graze		A	2.40	✓	Y		I	1.0000	4470		
	2	Ni	GRASS	NAG	Graze		A	6.80	✓	Y		I	1.0000	4470		
	3	Ni	GRASS	NAG	Graze		A	5.60	✓	Y		I	1.0000	4470		

C/C Type Prac IU Non-Irrig Irrigated
GRASS NAG N GZ 14.80

Photo Number/Legal Description: E5

Cropland: 14.8 Reported on Cropland: 14.80 Difference: .00 Reported on Non-Cropland: .00

Tract Number	CLU/ Field	Irr Prc	C/C	Var/ Type	Int Use	Lnd Use	Rpt Unt	Reported Quantity	Determined Quantity	Crp Lnd	O/ M	C/C Stat	Prod Share	Prod ID	RMA Unt	Opt Unt
521	1	Ni	WHEAT	SRW	Grain		A	37.10		Y		I	1.0000	4470		

C/C Type Prac IU Non-Irrig Irrigated
WHEAT SRW N GR 37.10

Photo Number/Legal Description: E5

Cropland: 37.1 Reported on Cropland: 37.10 Difference: .00 Reported on Non-Cropland: .00


E5-411
2008
1107
F-1107 T-411
U. Gon 4470 100
P=Failed to reported Date
SC7=Date Planted 09/15/07
SC6=Date Planted 09/15/06
SC5=Date Planted 09/15/05
SC4=Date Planted 09/15/04
SC3=Date Planted 09/15/03
SC2=Date Planted 09/15/02
SC1=Date Planted 09/15/01
SC=Date Planted 09/15/00
Dates are based on an annual planting period August-September.
All sugarcane is processed.
5TH.
6TH.
Fallow
Pasture
3RD.
4TH.
Misc.
Est. Wilfred Tourias

E5-521

1107



Plant_____ 5TH._____

1ST._____ 6TH._____

2ND._____ Fallow_____

3RD._____ Pasture_____

4TH._____ Misc. 10 371

Erin Tauzin

Operator Name and Last 4 | **Farm Description** | **Recon Number**

ULYSSE GONSOULIN & SONS INC - 4470

Farms Associated with Operator:

369, 512, 655, 666, 688, 711, 767, 827, 848, 921, 1082, 1112, 1114, 1122, 1127, 1141, 1151, 1154, 1168, 1208, 1442, 1489, 1499, 1506, 1518, 1534, 1540,

Other Producers Associated with Farm:

None

CRP Contract Number(s): None

Farmland	Cropland	DCP Cropland	WBP	WRP/EWP	CRP Cropland	GRP	Farm Status
79.0	51.9	51.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Active

State Conservation	Other Conservation	Effective DCP Cropland	Double Cropped	NAP	CRP MPL	Sugarcane	FAV/WR History
0.0	0.0	51.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.9	N

Crop	Base Acreage	CRP Reduction	CRP Pending	Direct Yield	CC Yield	CCC-505 CRP Reduction
CORN	6.0	0.0	0.0	59	59	0.0
Total Base Acres:	6.0					

Tract Number: 411 **Identifier** E5

FAV/WR History: N

BIA Range Unit Number:

HEL Status: Classified as not HEL

Wetland Status: Tract does not contain a wetland

WL Violations: None

Farmland	Cropland	DCP Cropland	WBP	WRP/EWP	CRP Cropland	GRP
19.0	14.8	14.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

State Conservation	Other Conservation	Effective DCP Cropland	Double Cropped	NAP	CRP MPL	Sugarcane
0.0	0.0	14.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9

Crop	Base Acreage	Direct Yield	CC Yield	CRP Reduction	CRP Pending	CRP Yield	CCC-505 CRP Reduction
CORN	1.4	59	59	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Base Acres:	1.4						

Owners: EST WILFRED TOURIAC

Tract Number: 521 **Identifier** E5

BIA Range Unit Number:

HEL Status: Classified as not HEL

Wetland Status: Tract does not contain a wetland

WL Violations: None

FAV/WR History
N

Farmland	Cropland	DCP Cropland	WBP	WRP/EWP	CRP Cropland	GRP
60.0	37.1	37.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

State Conservation	Other Conservation	Effective DCP Cropland	Double Cropped	NAP	CRP MPL	Sugarcane
0.0	0.0	37.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.0

Crop	Base Acreage	Direct Yield	CC Yield	CRP Reduction	CRP Pending	CRP Yield	CCC-505 CRP Reduction
CORN	4.6	59	59	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Base Acres:	4.6						

Owners: IRIN TAURIAC LUCINDA TAURIAC


Pond
NW
1443
1934
1912
1107
1912
1198
1124
1107
1691
1691
688
1935
1935