

TERREDA

# Exhibit AA. Rebecca Development Park North Preliminary Geotechnical Engineering Report





February 28, 2019

#### **Terrebonne Economic Development Authority**

8026 W. Main Street #701 Houma, Louisiana 70360

Attn: Ms. Katherine Gilbert-Theriot Phone: 985.873.6890 Email: ktheriot@tpeda.org

# Rebecca Development Park North Preliminary Geotechnical Engineering Report

Re: Proposal for Preliminary Geotechnical Site Characterization Services Proposed LED Rebecca Plantation – North Site Terrebonne Parish, Louisiana PSI Project No. 0254-1061

Dear Ms. Gilbert – Theriot,

Professional Service Industries, Inc. (PSI) is pleased to submit this report that presents the results of the requested preliminary geotechnical engineering parameters and guidance relative to the LED Rebecca Plantation – North Site located in Terrebonne Parish, Louisiana. This exploration was performed in general accordance with PSI Proposal No. 0254-244892 - Revision #1; dated August 7, 2018, which was authorized by Mr. Matt Rookard, CEO of the Terrebonne Economic Development Authority dated August 18, 2018.

We appreciate the opportunity to perform these geotechnical services and look forward to continued participation during the design and construction phases of this project. If you have any questions pertaining to this report, or if we may be of further service, please contact us.

Respectfully submitted,

#### **PROFESSIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES, INC.**

William B. Barker Geotechnical Project Manager



Name: Reda M. Bakeer, Ph.D., P.E. Date: February 26, 2019 License No.: 27123

THIS PRELIMINARY DOCUMENT IS NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION, BIDDING, RECORDATION, CONVEYANCE, SALES, OR AS THE BASIS FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A PERMIT.

#### GEOTECHNICAL SITE CHARACTERIZATION

PSI Project No. 0254-1061 Proposed LED Rebecca Plantation – North Site Terrebonne Parish, Louisiana

Prepared for

Terrebonne Economic Development Authority 8026 W. Main Street #701 Houma, Louisiana 70360

Prepared by

Professional Service Industries, Inc. 724 Central Avenue Jefferson, Louisiana 70121 LA Registered Engineering Firm: EF0001219

February 26, 2019

# intertek.

William Barker Project Manager

Reda M. Bakeer, Ph.D., P.E. Chief Engineer



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	PROJE	CT INFORMATION	
	1.1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	4
	1.2	PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF SERVICES	5
2	GEOTE	CHNICAL EXPLORATION PROGRAM	6
	2.1	FIELD EXPLORATION	6
	2.2	LABORATORY TESTING	7
3	SUBSU	IRFACE CONDITIONS	
	3.1	SOIL PROFILE	8
	3.2	GROUNDWATER INFORMATION	
4	GEOTE	CHNICAL EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
	4.1	GEOTECHNICAL DISCUSSION	
	4.2	SHALLOW FOUNDATION DESIGN	
	4.3	DEEP FOUNDATION DESIGN	
5	REPOR	RT LIMITATIONS	

APPENDIX Site Location Map Boring Location Plan Boring Logs Key to Terms and Symbols Used on Log





# **1 PROJECT INFORMATION**

Professional Service Industries, Inc. (PSI), an Intertek company, has completed a geotechnical exploration for the Rebecca Plantation, North Site, located in Houma, Louisiana. Our scope of services were outlined in PSI Proposal 0254-244892-Revision #1, dated August 7, 2018. Our geotechnical services were authorized by Mr. Matt Rookard with the Terrebonne Economic Development Authority (TEDA) on August 15, 2018.

#### 1.1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Initial project information was provided by Mr. Elliott Boudreaux of CSRS, Inc. on May 8, 2018. The provided information indicates that the proposed project consists of conducting a Preliminary Geotechnical Characterization Investigation of the subject site located in Terrebonne Parish, Louisiana. These services are required to support the Louisiana Economic Development (LED) Site Certification process. The LED Certified Site Application requires a preliminary geotechnical investigation that would generally characterize the site's soil, rock, and groundwater conditions to substantiate that unfavorable geotechnical conditions do not exist on the site.

The tract of land is approximately 714 acre Rebecca Plantation – North site located off LA Highway 90 in Terrebonne Parish near Houma, Louisiana. PSI was provided with multiple maps depicting the site general location and boundaries. It is understood that the site may be utilized for industrial facilities that may accommodate structures such as tanks, pipe racks, manufacturing buildings, etc. The property is presently used as agricultural fields. In view of this, drilling of the soil borings had to be postponed at the request of the property Owner to allow for the planted crops to mature for harvesting. Consequently, the field investigation was performed in January 2019 with the approval of the Owner and in coordination with TEDA.

The report containing the results of the requested geotechnical characterization investigation should be considered to be "preliminary" and could be used for general evaluation of the suitability of the site for development. However, it should not be used for the preliminary or final design and construction of any structures or foundations. It is understood that a subsequent detailed geotechnical exploration and analysis will be performed at a later stage once design and function of the proposed development have been finalized. Information needed would be the depth to groundwater, depth to a stiff clay material or a dense sand stratum, and the suitability of the soils for development. The explored depth is limited to 100 feet, so it should be noted that the report may state that the stiff clay strata or dense sand strata is "deeper than 100 feet below the existing grade," if it is not encountered within this investigation depth. This is particularly important if the anticipated construction on the subject site could include relatively high loads, requires adding significant amounts of fill to reach design grades, or structures that cannot tolerate settlement. Please note that our scope of services does not include environmental drilling or sampling of soil or groundwater.

The geotechnical recommendations presented in this report are based on the available project information, site location, laboratory testing, and the subsurface materials, as well as the assumptions stated in this report. If any of the noted information is incorrect, PSI should be informed in writing so that we may amend the recommendations presented in this report if appropriate and if desired by the Client. PSI will not be responsible for the implementation of its recommendations when it is not notified in advance and in writing of changes in the project.





#### 1.2 PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF SERVICES

The purposes of PSI's geotechnical services were to:

- Perform five (5) soil borings at the site;
- Evaluate general subsurface soil conditions and groundwater depth at the boring locations at the time of drilling;
- Perform limited laboratory testing on selected soil samples recovered from the borings; and,
- Provide a general discussion regarding the compatibility of this site with industrial/commercial development and the suitability of soils encountered.

The scope of services did not include an environmental assessment for determining the presence or absence of wetlands, or hazardous or toxic materials in the soil, surface water, groundwater, or air on or below, or around this site. Any statements in this report or on the boring logs regarding odors, colors, and unusual or suspicious items or conditions are strictly for informational purposes. Prior to development of this site, an environmental assessment is advisable. Additionally, PSI did not provide any service to investigate or detect the presence of moisture, mold or other biological contaminants in or around any structure, or any service that was designed or intended to prevent or lower the risk of the occurrence or the amplification of the same. Client acknowledges that mold is ubiquitous to the environment with mold amplification occurring when building materials are impacted by moisture. Client further acknowledges that site conditions are outside of PSI's control, and that mold amplification will likely occur, or continue to occur, in the presence of moisture. As such, PSI cannot and shall not be held responsible for the occurrence or mold amplification.



# 2 GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION PROGRAM

#### 2.1 FIELD EXPLORATION

PSI performed five (5) soil borings, to the depths described in Table 1, to explore the subsurface conditions at these randomly selected and accessible locations within the 714 acre site considering its present use.

Boring(s)	Depth (feet)*								
B-1	50								
B-2	50								
B-3	100								
B-4	50								
B-5	50								

Table 1: Soil Borings Information.

\*Approximate depth below the existing ground surface at the boring locations

The approximate locations of the borings are indicated on the Boring Location Plan included in the Appendix.

The soil borings were performed with an ATV track-mounted drilling rig using solid stem auger and wet rotary drilling techniques. Samples were generally obtained at two (2) foot intervals from the ground surface to a depth of ten (10) feet and at maximum five (5) foot intervals thereafter to the boring termination depths. Drilling and sampling were accomplished in general accordance with ASTM Standard Procedures.

Undisturbed samples of cohesive soils were generally obtained using thin-walled tubes in general accordance with the procedures for "Thin-Walled Tube Geotechnical Sampling of Soils" (ASTM D1587). These samples were extruded in the field with a hydraulic ram.

For cohesionless soils and semi-cohesive soils, Standard Penetration Test (SPT) was performed to obtain standard penetration values of the soil. The standard penetration value (N) is defined as the number of blows of a 140 pound hammer falling 30 inches that is required to advance the split-barrel sampler one (1) foot into the soil. To perform the test and obtain a sample, the sampler is lowered to the bottom of the previously cleaned drill hole and advanced by blows from the hammer. The number of blows is recorded for each of three (3) successive increments of six (6) inches penetration. The "N" value is obtained by adding the second and third incremental numbers. The results of the standard penetration test indicate the relative density of cohesionless soils and thereby provide a basis for estimating the relative strength of the soil profile components. Samples of granular soils were obtained utilizing a two (2) inch O.D. split-barrel sampler in general accordance with procedures for "Penetration Test and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils" (ASTM D-1586).

The samples were identified according to the project number, boring number and depth, and placed in polyethylene plastic wrapping to protect against moisture loss. In addition, undisturbed samples were wrapped in aluminum foil prior to placing in the plastic wrapping and were transported to the laboratory in containers to minimize further disturbance.





Project Number: 0254-1061 Proposed LED Rebecca Plantation – North Site February 28, 2019 Page 7

In addition to the field exploration, a laboratory testing program was conducted on the samples obtained from the soil borings to evaluate additional pertinent engineering characteristics of the subsurface materials. The laboratory testing program included supplementary visual classification and water content tests on the soil samples. In addition, selected samples were tested for unconsolidated undrained triaxial strength, Atterberg limits, and percent passing No. 200 sieve. Additional estimates of undrained shear-strength and unconfined compressive strength were also determined through the use of a torvane and a hand penetrometer, respectively.

The results of the laboratory tests are presented on the accompanying boring logs given in the Appendix. The samples which were not altered by laboratory testing will be retained for 60 days from the date of this report and then will be discarded.

#### 2.2 GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TESTING

Geotechnical Laboratory testing of selected soil samples was performed in general accordance with ASTM procedures and included the following:

- Visual Classification (ASTM D2487 / D2488)
- Moisture Content (ASTM D2216)
- Atterberg Limits (ASTM D4318)
- Percent Soil Particles Finer than No. 200 Sieve (ASTM D1140)
- Unconfined Compression Tests (ASTM D2166)
- Unconsolidated Undrained Triaxial Tests (ASTM D2850)

The samples that were not altered by laboratory testing will be retained for 60 days from the date of this report and then will be discarded without further notice.





# **3** SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

#### 3.1 SOIL PROFILE

Based on the field observations and the results of the geotechnical laboratory testing, the soils were classified, and the boring logs were developed. The boring logs are presented in the Appendix along with a key to the terms and symbols used on the boring logs. In view of the site size and the limited number of borings made at this time, a generalized subsurface profile at each specific boring location is presented in Tables 2 thru 6.

Approximate Depth Range (feet) <sup>(1)</sup>	Consistency/Relative Density	Material Description
0 – 2	Very Stiff	Fat Clay (CH)
2 – 50	Soft to Firm	Fat Clay (CH) and Lean Clay (CL)

#### Table 2: Generalized Soil Profile – B-1.

<sup>(1)</sup>*Referenced from the existing grade at the boring location.* 

#### Table 3: Generalized Soil Profile – B-2.

Approximate Depth Range (feet) <sup>(1)</sup>	Consistency/Relative Density	Material Description
0 - 2	Very Stiff	Fat Clay (CH)
2 – 6	Firm to Stiff	Fat Clay (CH)
6 – 25	Soft to Firm	Fat Clay (CH)
25 – 43	Very Soft to Soft	Fat Clay (CH)
43 – 50	Soft to Firm	Fat Clay (CH)

<sup>(1)</sup>*Referenced from the existing grade at the boring location.* 



Approximate Depth Range (feet) <sup>(1)</sup>	Consistency/Relative Density	Material Description
0 - 2	Very Stiff	Fat Clay (CH)
2 – 23	Soft to Firm	Fat Clay (CH)
23 – 28	Very Stiff	Lean Clay (CL)
28 - 33	Soft to Firm	Lean Clay (CL)
33 – 38	Very Soft	Fat Clay (CH)
38 – 43	Firm	Organic Clay (OH)
43 - 48	Stiff	Lean Clay (CL)
48 - 88	Very Soft to Firm	Fat Clay (CH)
88 - 98	Firm to Stiff	Fat Clay (CH)
98 - 100	Soft to Firm	Fat Clay (CH)

#### Table 4: Generalized Soil Profile – B-3.

<sup>(1)</sup>Referenced from the existing grade at the boring location.

#### Table 5: Generalized Soil Profile – B-4.

Approximate Depth Range (feet) <sup>(1)</sup>	Consistency/Relative Density	Material Description
0 – 2	Very Stiff	Fat Clay (CH)
2 - 33	Soft to Firm	Fat Clay (CH) and Lean Clay (CL)
33 – 50	Very Soft	Fat Clay (CH)

<sup>(1)</sup>*Referenced from the existing grade at the boring location.* 

<b>Table 6: Generalize</b>	d Soil Profile – B-5.
----------------------------	-----------------------

Approximate Depth Range (feet) <sup>(1)</sup>	Consistency/Relative Density	Material Description
0 – 2	Very Stiff	Lean Clay (CL)
2 – 8	Soft to Firm	Fat Clay (CH)
8 – 23	Firm to Stiff	Fat Clay (CH)
23 – 50	Soft to Firm	Fat Clay (CH)

<sup>(1)</sup>*Referenced from the existing grade at the boring location.* 

The above subsurface descriptions are of a generalized nature to highlight the major subsurface stratification features and material characteristics in each exploration area of the site. The boring logs included in the Appendix should be reviewed for specific information at the boring locations. These boring logs also include soil descriptions, stratification, penetration resistances, and locations of the samples and laboratory test data. The stratification shown on the logs represents the conditions only at the actual exploration locations and within that particular area at the time of our field exploration. Therefore, variation may occur, and should be expected across the site





Project Number: 0254-1061 Proposed LED Rebecca Plantation – North Site February 28, 2019 Page 10

considering its size. The stratification represents the approximate boundary between subsurface materials, but the actual transition may be gradual. This is particularly important considering the limited number of borings made at readily accessible areas within the relatively large property where subsurface conditions could vary significantly than those outlined in Table 2 through 6. Groundwater level information obtained during field operations is also shown on the boring logs. As previously discussed, this report is intended for general site characterization and not for use in any formal designs.

#### 3.2 GROUNDWATER INFORMATION

Table 7 presents groundwater levels observed during the time of drilling.

Boring	Groundwater Depth (feet) <sup>(1)</sup>							
B-1	8-1/2							
B-2	7							
B-3	6							
B-4	6							
B-5	9							

#### Table 7: Groundwater Levels Detected during the Field Exploration.

<sup>(1)</sup>Referenced from the existing grade at the boring locations.

It is possible that seasonal variations (temperature, rainfall, adjacent waterways and drainage canals, etc.) as well as the water level or stage in the nearby water bodies will cause fluctuations in the groundwater level. Additionally, perched water may be encountered in discontinuous zones within the overburden. This condition develops as rainwater in entrapped in the more pervious surface lean clays underlain by less pervious cohesive fat clay soils. The groundwater levels presented in this report are the levels that were measured at the time of our field activities. It is recommended that the Contractor determine the actual groundwater levels at the site at the time of the construction activities to determine the impact, if any, on the construction procedures. This is particularly important considering the size of the site and the limited number of borings drilled at readily accessible locations.





# 4 PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 4.1 GEOTECHNICAL DISCUSSION

The type and depth of foundation suitable for a given structure primarily depends on several factors including the subsurface conditions, the function of the structure, the loads it may carry, the cost of the foundation and the criteria set by the Design Engineer with respect to vertical and differential movements which the structure can withstand without damage. Detailed column loads for specific structures and grading plans were not provided at the time of this study.

Grade supported foundations or surface coverings will likely be governed by the anticipated load and settlement tolerances, particularly where a significant amount of new fill is placed. Driven piles should be viable foundation types considering the subsurface and groundwater conditions encountered. Prior to new fill placement, site preparation should include removal of surficial vegetation, topsoil, organic matter, and soft soil or demucking of wet areas or drainage conveyances and proof rolling in the presence of the Geotechnical Engineer of Record to assess general stability and firmness prior to fill placement.

Based on the limited number of soil borings made at readily accessible locations, field data and laboratory test results, the proposed site is generally suitable for industrial development. The results of the exploration indicate that aside from the the near surface desiccated crust, the underlying soils encountered at the boring locations -4 are moderately to highly compressible in nature, and poor to fair in bearing quality. In consideration of the existing soil conditions and the impact of any additional fill being planned to raise the site grades, a shallow foundation is expected to undergo excessive settlements and, therefore, will not be suitable for support of "typical" industrial heavy construction. However, spread footing type foundations (square, continuous, mats, etc.) could be used for support of lightly loaded auxiliary structures that can tolerate long-term settlements including some differential with time.

As stated previously, PSI's opinions and information presented in this site evaluation report are provided for planning purposes and preliminary considerations only; they are based on a very limited geotechnical exploration, and shall not to be used for final design and construction.





#### 4.2 PRELIMINARY SHALLOW FOUNDATION DESIGN

Provided the preliminary findings gleaned from the limited number of borings made at readily accessible locations are confirmed with a comprehensive subsurface investigation, lightly loaded structures can be supported on conventional spread footings bearing on properly compacted structural fill. In this case, additional geotechnical recommendations will have to be provided with regard to site preparation, design parameters and anticipated long-term settlement based on the specific structural loads and configuration.

Foundations should be placed at least 2 feet below the finished grade on firm clay or controlled-compacted structural fill and can be designed for a <u>preliminary</u> net allowable bearing pressure of 1,000 psf for dead load plus transient live loads (i.e. wind loads) or 700 psf for dead plus sustained live loads (i.e. equipment inside a structure), whichever results in a larger bearing area. Minimum footing dimension for continuous wall footings should be at least 18 inches. It should be noted that poor quality and/or soft materials may exist at parts of the site considering the limited number of explorations locations. Therefore, the footing excavation should be thoroughly inspected to assure that all poor-quality and/or soft materials are removed and replaced with structural fill. The location, depth and lateral extent of unsuitable or poor quality materials shall be delineated through the comprehensive subsurface investigation to be conducted as part of the design phase for the proposed development.

No detailed settlement analyses were made since the anticipated loads, footing configuration, etc. are not known at this time. However, spread footings with a width no larger than five (5) feet, designed as described above and seated in firm clay or controlled-compacted structural fill, should experience a total settlement on the order of less than one inch. If a cluster of closely spaced footings (i.e., if the center to center spacing of the footings is less than two times the width of the footing) are planned, PSI should be contacted to calculate the amount of settlement. However, the near surface firm to stiff clays are underlain by soft and more compressible clays which could experience larger and differential settlement partially under large footings and if significant fill thickness is needed to raise the site grade.

The foundation excavations shall be observed by a representative of the Geotechnical Engineer of Record or a designated representative prior to steel or concrete placement to assess that the foundation materials can support the design loads and are consistent with the materials discussed in the report. Soft or loose soil zones, if encountered at the bottom of the shallow footing excavations, shall be removed and replaced with properly compacted structural fill as directed by the Geotechnical Engineer of Record.

After opening, isolated spread footing excavations should be observed, and concrete placed as quickly as possible to avoid exposure of the footing bottoms to wetting and drying. Surface run-off water should be drained away from the excavations and not be allowed to pond. If possible, the foundation concrete should be placed during the same day the excavation is made. If it is required that footing excavations be left open for more than one day, they should be protected to reduce evaporation or entry of moisture. While no detailed analyses were made, structures placed on existing soils will be subject up to two (2) to three (3) inches of movement.





#### 4.3 PRELIMINARY DEEP FOUNDATION DESIGN

Using the static method of analyses and results of the soil borings allowable axial capacities have been computed for the following pile types: 7" tip -12" butt diameter large Class "B" treated timber piles and a 14-inch square precast, pre-stressed concrete (PPC) piles. It is recommended that only one pile type and length be used to support a given structure. The estimated pile capacities provided include a design factors of safety of 2.0 in compression and 3.0 in tension. The piles at this site will generally derive their support in compression and tension, or uplift, through "skin friction" along their embedded lengths since no consistent sand stratum was encountered that would offer "good" additional "point support". The location, thickness, depth and lateral extent of the competent sand stratum shall be delineated through the comprehensive subsurface investigation to be conducted as part of the design phase for the proposed development.

The recommended driven lengths and the estimated corresponding allowable compression and tension capacities for the piles are presented in Tables 8 and 9. Due to the central location and depth of soil boring B-3 and the dissimilarities encountered in all three (3) deep soil borings with regard to soil type, strength and stratification, the soil profile of soil boring B-3 was employed in the pile capacity analysis provided below. The recommended pile lengths are referenced from the existing ground surface and any length of pile needed above, or below, this reference should be added to, or subtracted from, the pile lengths given in Tables 8 and 9.

ESTIMATED ALLOWABLE SINGLE PILE CAPACITY (tons) <sup>(1)</sup>									
Pile Length (feet) <sup>(2)</sup>	Class " (7" tip -	B" Timber - 12" butt)							
	Comp.	Tens.							
35	8	5							
40	9	6							
45	10	7							
50	11	7							
55	12	8							
60	13	9							

TABLE 8. Preliminary Timber Pile Allowable Capacities

<sup>(1)</sup> Capacities are soil-pile related capacities and consideration should be given to the structural integrity of the pile member.

<sup>(2)</sup> Pile lengths are referenced from existing ground surface at the time of drilling.





ESTIMATED ALLOWABLE SINGLE PILE CAPACITY (tons) <sup>(1)</sup>									
Pile Length	t Concrete								
(feet) <sup>(2)</sup>	(14-i	nch)							
	Comp.								
60	25	16							
65	26	18							
70	70 28								
75	30	20							
80	33	22							
85	39	26							
90	44	29							
95	49	33							

#### TABLE 9. Preliminary 14-inch square PPC Allowable Capacities.

<sup>(1)</sup> Capacities are soil-pile related capacities and consideration should be given to the structural intearity of the pile member.

<sup>(2)</sup> Pile lengths are referenced from existing ground surface at the time of drilling.

The estimated <u>preliminary</u> pile capacities include a factor of safety of two (2) in compression and three (3) in tension. In both cases, pile lengths are measured from the existing ground surface at the boring locations. Any pile lengths needed above, or below, this reference grade should be added to or subtracted from, the tabulated lengths, respectively. Also, a pile cutoff of 2 and 4 feet for timber and PPC piles, respectively, should be of no consequence. It should be noted that if more than three (3) feet of fill is planned, the above pile capacities should be re-evaluated to account for drag loads on the piles. Additional analyses will need to be performed with regard to lateral loads, group effect and settlement based on the specific project loads and layout as well as fill thickness.





# 5 **REPORT LIMITATIONS**

The preliminary information submitted in this report is based on the available subsurface data obtained by PSI at the time of our field exploration. PSI warrants that the preliminary findings contained herein have been made in accordance with generally accepted drilling procedures and visual soil classification methods in the local area. No other warranties are implied or expressed. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the Terrebonne Economic Development Authority for the specific purpose of determining general subsurface information at the subject site to develop a general geotechnical site characterization.



APPENDIX

www.intertek.com/building



GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES NORTH SITE – REBECCA PLANTATION HOUMA, LOUISIANA

# SITE LOCATION MAP

PSI PROJECT NO.: 02541061 GOOGLE EARTH IMAGERY DATE: 1/2018 intertek 05



GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES NORTH SITE – REBECCA PLANTATION HOUMA, LOUISIANA

# SITE LOCATION MAP

PSI PROJECT NO.: 02541061 GOOGLE EARTH IMAGERY DATE: 1/2018 intertek 05



#### GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES NORTH SITE – REBECCA PLANTATION HOUMA, LOUISIANA

# **BORING LOCATION PLAN**

PSI PROJECT NO.: 02541061 GOOGLE EARTH IMAGERY DATE: 1/2018 intertek

# LOG OF BORING B-1 NORTH SITE - REBECCA PLANTATION HOUMA, LOUISIANA

TYP	E OF	BOR	INC	G: AUGER TO WET ROTARY	LOCA		REE	BECC	A							PS	SI Pro	ect No	o.: 025	41061
⊢.	ш	BOL	S		 	ы(%)		U	È	υЩ	STR	SH EN	EAF GTI	R H (ts	sf)	SHE	H (tsf)	IGHT		
DEPTH, F	SOIL TYP	SCS SYM	SAMPLE	SOIL DESCRIPTION	N-BLOWS/F	MOISTURI CONTENT (	LIMIT	PLASTI LIMIT	PLASTIC INDEX	% PASSIN	О Н ∆ Т`	IP V		UC	_	HP (tsf)	UC (tsf)	TV (tsf)	UU (tsf)	۲ UNIT WE (pcf)
				Very stiff brown FAT CLAY with trace			LL	PL	ΡI		0.0 (	0.5	1. 	0 1	.5					DF
		011		silt		23										1.50				
-2.5-		CL		Soft to firm brown LEAN CLAY, with trace silt		35					-0					0.25				
-5.0-						35	42	22	20		<b>/</b>						0.21	0.10		88
7.5		CL	V	Soft to firm gray LEAN CLAY, with silt	4	36	+			97					† -					
-7.5			H		7	34														
-10.0			А																	
-12 5																				
12.0			$\forall$		6	29				83										
-15.0			Α																	
17.5																				
			M		8	33														
-20.0			Ĥ																	
-22.5																				
		СН		Soft to firm gray <b>FAT CLAY</b> - with trace peat, 23 to 25 feet		89					-							0.25	0.41	48
-25.0																				
-27.5																				
-20.0						62					0					0.25				
-30.0																				
-32.5																				
-35.0				- with silt lenses and trace peat, 33 to 35		67	126	105	21		- 4							0.25	0.31	59
254				teet																
° - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -																				
9 9 140.0				- with silt lenses and trace organic, 38 to		87					0					0.25				
- 2/27				40 1001																
42.5																				
0LSN-45.0				- with silt and sand seams, 43 to 50 feet		44										0.25				
OHIS																				
-47.5																0.47				
≝ -50.0				BORING TERMINATED AT 50 FEET		39										0.17				
ଞ୍ଚ DEF	TH C	F BO	RI	NG: 50 FEET	1	1	I	1		GRO		⊔∎⊥ /AT	нн ER		I RII		ILLING	6: 8.5 F	EET	
			): 	1/26/19					Ţ	GRO	UNDW	VAT	ER	UP	10	N COM	PLETI	ON: N /	A	
ы Мот	NOTE: N / A = NOT AVAILABLE  V DELAYED GROUNDWATER: N / A																			



# LOG OF BORING B-2 NORTH SITE - REBECCA PLANTATION HOUMA, LOUISIANA

TYF	PE OF	BOR	INC	S: AUGER TO WET ROTARY	LOCA		REE	BECC	A	-						P	SI Proj	ect No	.: 0254	41061
	ш	BOL	S		<u></u>	(%		O	È.	59	SHEA STRENG			R H (t	sf)	SHE	AR STR	RENGT	NGTH (tsf)	
DEPTH, F	SOIL TYP	ISCS SYMI	SAMPLE	SOIL DESCRIPTION	N-BLOWS/F	MOISTURI CONTENT (	LIMIT	PLASTI LIMIT	PLASTIC INDEX	% PASSING No. 200 SIEV		IP V			) J	HP (tsf)	UC (tsf)	TV (tsf)	UU (tsf)	א UNIT WE (pcf)
		⊃ CH		Very stiff brown FAT CLAY with trace			LL	PL	ΡI		0.0	0.5	1. 	.0	1.5					ä
					L	20	51	23	28	L					● 	1.50				
-2.5		СН		Firm to stiff brown FAT CLAY		34						φ			-	0.50				
-5.0				with trace silt 4 to 6 foot		46					-•						0.27	0.40		74
		CH		Soft to firm gray <b>FAT CLAY</b> , with trace $\Sigma$	+	38	+	{	<u> </u>	+					-	033				
<b>-</b> 7.5				wood, 6 to 18 feet		50						Í		_		0.55				
-10.0						48	83	30	53		- <del>C</del>					0.25				
-12.5																				
-15.0						49					0					0.17				
-15.0																				
17.5																				
						34					≙▲				-			0.05	0.26	85
-20.0																				
-22.5																				
						28					•					0.25				
-25.0		CH		Very soft to soft gray FAT CLAY	+	· ·	+			+					1-					
27.5																				
						78					0					0.17				
-30.0				- with trace organic, 28 to 30 feet									+	++-	+					
22.5																				
-32.0						63	1/1	37	104							0.08				
-35.0				- with trace peat and shell, 33 to 35 feet		03	141	51	104						-	0.08				
0254																				
- <b>3</b> 7.5																				
ະ 10.0				- with trace silt, 38 to 40 feet		34												0.05	0.20	87
- 2/27																				
42.5				Soft to firm gray <b>FAT CI AY</b>	+	-	<u> </u>			<u> </u>										
Ó 45 (		OIT		- with trace silt, 43 to 45 feet		37					0					0.25				
															-					
47.5																				
						98					0					0.17				
unit 150.0				BORING TERMINATED AT 50 FEET											Γ					
			RIN V	NG: 50 FEET					<b>∑</b>	GRO	UNDW	/AT	ER		JRI	NG DR		6: 7 FE	T	
	DATE DRILLED: 1/22/19     ▼ GROUNDWATER UPON COMPLETION: 8 FEET       NOTE: N / A = NOT AVAILABLE     ▼ DELAYED CROUNDWATER VIA																			
ăĽ,		-							Ŧ			JA		UND	v v /-	\ı∟\\. I				

intertek.

# LOG OF BORING B-3 NORTH SITE - REBECCA PLANTATION HOUMA, LOUISIANA

TYP	E OF	BOR	INC	G: AUGER TO WET ROTARY	LOCA		RE	BECC	A						F	SI Pro	ject No	o.: 025	41061
<sub>⊢</sub> .	ш	BOL	S		۲	Э.		U	Σ	ъЩ	SI	SHEAR STRENGTH (tsf)			SHE	AR ST	RENGT	H (tsf)	IGHT
DEPTH, F	SOIL TYP	SCS SYM	SAMPLE	SOIL DESCRIPTION	N-BLOWS/F	MOISTURI CONTENT (	LIMIT	PLASTI LIMIT	PLASTIC	% PASSIN No. 200 SIE	O HP ∆ TV	_	● ( ▲ (	UU UC	HP (tsf)	UC (tsf)	TV (tsf)	UU (tsf)	גץ UNIT WE (pcf)
		⊃ CH		Very stiff brown FAT CLAY with trace			LL	PL	ΡI		0.0 0.	.5	1.0						Ð
		- <u>-</u>		silt and gravel	<u> </u>	23	<u> </u>						P		1.08   				
-2.5-		Сн		- with trace silt, 2 to 4 feet		34	52	25	27		-c				0.42				
-5.0-				$\nabla$		44							+			0.19	0.35		76
7.5		CH		Soft to firm gray FAT CLAY	+	50								$\square$	0.42				
7.5						51									0.33				
10.0				- with trace slit, 8 to 10 feet															
-12.5																			
				with trace ailt 12 to 15 fact		52	75	30	45								0.15	0.23	71
<b>-</b> 15.0																			
17.5																			
				- with trace wood 18 to 20 feet		41					0				0.17				
-20.0																			
-22.5																			
		CL		Very stiff gray LEAN CLAY, with silt lenses, 23 to 25 feet		25							ł	+	1.17				
-25.0																			
27.5					<u> </u>		L			L					↓				
20.0		CL		Soft to firm gray LEAN CLAY, with silt lenses, 28 to 30 feet		35	33	22	11		<b>▲</b> C				0.42			0.14	86
-30.0													_						
-32.5		СЦ		Voru soft arou <b>EAT CLAV</b> with trace															
-35.0		Сп		peat and shell, 33 to 35 feet		74									0.08				
254																			
37.5		ОН		Firm gray and black ORGANIC CLAY															
9 6 1 40.0		011		with trace peat and wood, 38 to 40 feet		103					- C				0.42				
- 2/27																			
42.5 z		CL		Stiff gray LEAN CLAY, with silt lenses,															
01S0-45.0				43 to 45 feet		36									0.58				
PSIHC																			
z 47.5		СН		Very soft to soft gray FAT CLAY		71									0.09				
H 50.0														+	0.00				
ဗ္ဗ DEP	TH O	F BO	RIN	NG: 100 FEET	I		1	1	Ţ	GRO		∔ \TE	RI		ING D	RILLIN	- G: 6 FEI	ET	
			): NC	1/24/19					Ţ	GRO			R	UPC		<b>IPLETI</b>	ON: N /	A	
	E: N	/ A =	NC						Ţ	DELA	AYED GI	RO	UN	IDW	ATER:	N/A			



# LOG OF BORING B-3 NORTH SITE - REBECCA PLANTATION HOUMA, LOUISIANA

ΤY	'PE C	DF E	BOR	NC	S: AUGER TO WET ROTARY	LOCA		REE	BECC	A						PSI Project No.: 0254					
	.   u	ш	BOL	S		l H	(%	_	0	È.	<u>о</u> щ	STREN	SHEAR STRENGTH (tsf)			SHEAR STRENGTH (			H (tsf)	IGHT	
		17 H	sγMI	ЪГË		WS/F	ENT (	IMIT IMIT	ASTIC	STIC	SSIN(	O HP		UC	Ģ		f)	()	f)	T WE	
			SCS	SAM	SOIL DESCRIPTION	-BLO	MOIS			PLA	% PA	∆TV		UU	L d		JC (ts	_V (tsl	IU (tsi		
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	SU			2	U U	LL	PL	ΡI	۳z	0.0 0.5	51	.0 1.	5			F	L	DR	
-52	5				Very soft gray FAT CLAY																
_					with trace all and shall 52 to 55 fact		52	59	28	31		a			0.1	7					
<b>-</b> 55	.0-																				
-57	.5-																				
					with trace allt and aball 59 to 60 feat		24											0.05	0.16	90	
<b>-</b> 60	.0-																				
-62	.5																				
					- with trace shell 63 to 65 feet		42					<b>)</b>			0.0	8					
<b>-</b> 65	.0-																				
-67	.5-																				
			CH		Soft to firm gray FAT CLAY	T	50								0.3	33					
-70	.0-																				
-72	.5-																				
					- with silt lenses, 73 to 75 feet		54											0.40	0.17	68	
•75	.0-				, ,																
-77	.5-																				
					- with silt lenses and trace shell, 78 to 80		56					φ			0.2	25					
-80	.0-			Π	feet																
-82	.5-																				
05					- with trace organic, 83 to 85 feet		68	113	38	75		-0-			0.2	25					
-85	.0-			Π																	
0554 78-	.5-					<u> </u>					L				<u> </u>						
6:17			СН		Firm to sum gray FAT CLAY		61					<b>−</b> •			0.5	50					
2/19 1																					
- <b>-</b> 92	.5																				
					- with trace organic, 93 to 95 feet		67											0.45	0.85	60	
DUSTO																					
97 JHSd	.5-					+					<u> </u>				+						
			СП		- with trace silt, 98 to 100 feet		40					0			0.2	25					
					BORING TERMINATED AT 100 FEET									++-							
bo DE	PTH	OF	BO	RIN	NG: 100 FEET										-	-+-	Į				
				): ·	1/24/19																
δ INC	лЕ:	IN /	н=	NU	VI AVAILADLE																



# LOG OF BORING B-4 NORTH SITE - REBECCA PLANTATION HOUMA, LOUISIANA

I	YPE	E OF	BOR	INC	G: AUGER TO WET ROTARY	LOCATION: REBECCA												P	41061																					
	<u>⊢</u> .	щ	BOL	S		<u> </u> .	ш(%)		U	Σ	СG	STF	S RE	HE. NG		t l (ts	sf)	SHE	H (tsf)	IGHT																				
	DEPTH, F	SOIL TYP	SCS SYM	SAMPLE	SOIL DESCRIPTION	N-BLOWS/F	MOISTURI CONTENT (	LIQUIE	PLASTI	PLASTIC	% PASSIN No. 200 SIE					O HP ● UC △ TV ▲ UU		O HP ● UC △ TV ▲ UU						● UC ▲ UU				● UC ´ ▲ UU		● UC ▲ UU		● UC ▲ UU		● UC ▲ UU		HP (tsf)	UC (tsf)	TV (tsf)	UU (tsf)	גץ UNIT WE (pcf)
╞			⊃ CH	H Venu stiff brown EAT CLAY with trace				LL	PL	PI			0.	5	1.0	) 1	.5					ö																		
E			- <u>-</u>		silt	<u> </u>	20	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	╎┛┼┥					₽ -	1.50																						
É	2.5		Сп		trace silt		36	76	30	46			•					0.42	0.43			83																		
÷	5.0-				$\nabla$		39						þ					0.42																						
E	7.5		CH		Soft to firm gray FAT CLAY	+	43						Ħ				1-		0.21	0.20		76																		
É	.5				feet		56											0.25																						
-1	0.0																	0.20																						
	2.5																																							
Ë	2.5-						72	110	40	70								0 17																						
-1	5.0 <del>-</del>				-with trace organic, 13 to 15 feet								+					0.11																						
	7.5																																							
Ë	7.5		CL		Soft to firm gray LEAN CLAY, with trace		34						,					0.25																						
-2	0.0-				Siit, 16 to 20 leet																																			
	2 5-																																							
É	2.0		СН		Soft to firm gray <b>FAT CLAY</b> , with trace		74																																	
-2	5.0 <del>-</del>				Silt and trace organic, 23 to 50 leet																																			
-2	7 5-																																							
Ē							77													0.15	0.26	55																		
-3	0.0-																																							
-3	2.5-																																							
E			CH		Very soft gray FAT CLAY	<b>†</b> – –	71	102	37	65		þ						0.08																						
-3	5.0 <del>-</del>																																							
- 025 - 025	7.5 <b>-</b>																																							
9 16:17					- with silt lenses 38 to 40 feet		63											0.08																						
31/12	0.0-																																							
-10 -10	2.5 <del>-</del>																																							
TON.G					- with silt lenses 43 to 45 feet		66													0.10	0.12	63																		
SNOH	5.0-																																							
	7.5 <b>-</b>																																							
RSO			СН		Very soft gray <b>SILT</b> , with silt lenses		33						$\prod$																											
	0.0-				BORING TERMINATED AT 50 FEET																																			
		0 HT פח =	FBO	RIN J	NG: 50 FEET 1/22/19					<u>₹</u>	GRO		NA		R	DU	RI			6 FEE	ET																			
NINO NINO NINO NINO NINO NINO NINO NINO	OTE	E: N	/ A =	). NC	DT AVAILABLE					⊻ v	GRO DEL4	UND\ \YED	WA G	ATE RO	:R UN	۷D ۱D۱			PLETI N/A	JN: 9 F	EEI																			
м										-		0	5		2.																									

intertek 05 Professional Service Industries, Inc. 724 Central Avenue Jefferson Louisiana 70121 (504) 733-9411

# LOG OF BORING B-5 NORTH SITE - REBECCA PLANTATION HOUMA, LOUISIANA

TYP	E OF	BOR	INC	G: AUGER TO WET ROTARY	LOCATION: REBECCA												P	41061			
	ш	30L	S		H.	(%		0	Ł	<u>"</u> щ	STR	S		AF	२ -  /†	sf)	SHEAR STRENGTH (tsf)				IGHT
ЦЩ	ТҮР	SYMI	IPLE		WS/F	STURE ENT (		ASTIC	STIC	SSIN(	ОН	IP		•	UC	) C	sf)	f)	f)	f)	T WE
DEPT	SOIL	SCS	SAN	SOIL DESCRIPTION	N-BLC	NOIS			PLA =	% PA 10. 20	∆ T	V			υι	J	HP (ts	JC (ts	IV (ts	JU (ts	NN V UNI
		ŝ			2	0	LL	PL	PI	ΞZ	0.0	0.	5	1.0	)	1.5				ر	DR
		CL		Very stiff brown <b>LEAN CLAY</b> , with trace gravel and brick fragments		16	38	22	16							þ	1.50				
-2.5-		СН		Firm brown <b>FAT CLAY</b> , with silt seams and trace gravel		26						C					0.42	0.36			91
-5.0-		CH		Soft gray and brown FAT CLAY	+	38											0.17				
						34												0 17	0.20		81
-7.5-					<u> </u>		<u> </u>					-	╞			-					
-10.0		Сн	Д	and sand seams	6	31				70											
																_					
12.5			H		7	36															
15.0			Å			30										-					
17.5																					
-17.5-			H		9	35				79						_					
-20.0			А																		
-22.5																_					
		ĊH		Soft to firm gray FAT CLAY	+	50			·							-	0.25				
-25.0				- with trace organic, 23 to 25 leet																	
27.5													+			-					
				- with trace neat 28 to 30 feet		78										_			0.30	0.37	49
-30.0																					
32.5																					
				- with trace organic. 33 to 35 feet		51	125	54	71		c					_	0.33				
-35.0-																					
37.5					<u> </u>					L						-					
0 10:1		СН		Soft to firm gray <b>FAT CLAY</b> , with silt and sand seams		54											0.33				
40.0																					
42.5																					
						35					<b>P</b>					_	0.25				
47.5																_					
50 0-						44											0.25				
	і ТН О		RIN									Ц	 	⊥⊥ - ►	Ш					- <b>-</b>	
	E DR	ILLE	):	1/26/19					⊻ ₹	GRO GRO	UNDW UNDW	V A V A	ATE Ate	ER ER	DL UF	- JRI JRI	NG DF N COM	(ILLINC	5: 9 FE ON: N /	= I A	
	E: N	/ A =	NC	DT AVAILABLE					Ţ	DEL	YED	G	RC	DU	١D	WA	ATER:	N/A			





4.75 2.0 0.42 GRAIN SIZE IN MM

intertek

Professional Service Industries, Inc. 724 Central Avenue Jefferson, Louisiana 70121 Phone: (504) 733-9411 đi